

Appendix 9 – Free text responses to Q4: Instead of offering short breaks at The Getaway, following an assessment of need, we may provide short breaks at other similar homes elsewhere in Derbyshire. Please let us know what you think about this proposal.

### Responses from Members of the Public

This may be more costly if this is not DCC in house provision
I think the proposed service provision needs to be identified and families reassured that they will still receive a comparable level of service and care.
In agreement with this in principle as at least some support will be offered as this is a lifeline for families and young people and I and many others would be against losing this service altogether.
Support should be offered in a local area to the young person. Reducing services in the area will have a negative impact on children and families
Short breaks should remain local to where the young person resides. There is already a shortage of services and support for children and families reducing this will have a further negative impact
Yes I would strongly agree
Agree
I agree this suggestion will work
I think I agree fully with this suggestion
I agree but travel may be an issue unless provided
It would hurt alot of children if it closed
There should be some support in place for children that no longer get short breaks there
What would that achieve? This seems like it would be passing the work load elsewhere when the service is already set up and staffed to accommodate short breaks!
Continuity is a vital component of safeguarding. It might work providing alternative services but these should be in place before the discontinuation of the existing ones. Despite being in the 21st century when we have so much, many children have poor early years and parents are pushed beyond their capabilities trying to care for children with disabilities.
Provided the services which many have come to rely on is maintained and appropriate travel arrangements can be made to enable usage of the services at alternative location.
So long as families and children have the chance to participate like For like with no reduced service then ok
Why take away a service that caters to the disabled to go somewhere else? Absolutely disgusting.
There's nowhere else available to take any kids
If there's similar and better equipped place then it would make more sense to use them
Disagree as services are already so limited
Totally unacceptable to use these children to save money. Look elsewhere - specifically at people who claim benefits that they may not be entitled to, or may no longer be entitled to if their circumstances have changed but they haven't told you.
Think it would be better to remain at the current gateway
Definitely disagree with this wouldn't be beneficial

it'll be likely that people will have to travel further and it won't be accessible to everyone concerned. It'll also mean highly skilled people will lose their jobs who mean a lot to the children/ families concerned, and it'll cause upset to the children as their familiar surroundings will no longer be there.

Services are desperately needed in the South of Derbyshire. I would be in agreement if they were spread out around Derbyshire.

Children with Disabilities still need access to short breaks and residential care to prevent parental burnout. This is viral!

No, it's not fair. Please leave it as it is

The getaway is a home away from home for the children. Providing much needed respite for the parents. Without this lifeline, it would mean finding alternative high cost placements.

Children are familiar with the building & staff. This cannot be reciprocated elsewhere. Every child has individual needs.

Local families will no longer benefit the closure of facilities and is likely to effect support for those in most need.

These things are often slow to get off the ground or never happen at all. Derbyshire is a huge county, how can you possibly cover it all by providing a local service to these vulnerable families? Many are at crisis point already. This spells the end of the road for many such families.

Need to be local to these vulnerable people

Still keep The Gateway

Disagree

Will the assessment of need take extra resourcing? Similar homes elsewhere in Derbyshire aren't a problem, the law of averages says that the new location will be nearer for some and further for others. How will a new location save any money?

Remove middle management. Restructure massively. Too many chiefs. Not enough doing personnel giving practical support. All is possible. Should not take charities to provide the ACTUAL practical support. A massive shake up is in dire need in all children services and all educational settings

provision should be made equally across the council area and it would make more sense to utilise other building as long as the quality is not compromised

As we all know, this won't happen and people in the greatest need will have less.

I would support this

There would need to be viable alternatives available. The market is not strong enough to spot purchase. Travel is an issue for some children, could it be guaranteed that travel be kept to a minimum

If you plan to use private provision, then I do not believe it will be more cost efficient or as well run.

As long as similar homes are easily available and accessible

This is not good enough keep cutting sen services

this will not be suitable if they are to far away from the children's own home

Disgraceful

I think you need to stop going after the most vulnerable in society and start looking at things that are not necessary such as huge wages for executives. Selling off buildings/land that are not being used by vulnerable people

It would depend if families that receive the service were going to continue with provision elsewhere .is there similar provision elsewhere ?

If they are a similar standard this would be ok. But don't see how this will save money.
I am unfamiliar with the inner workings of The Getaway but acknowledge the value they provide to families in need.
This shud be kept open
This should be kept open
More work for you and the carers. Leave as is.
Short breaks are vital to family's who have children with disabilities
This needs to stay as it is for the families with disabled relatives.
If they offer the same things then could be beneficial
This is going to effect families massively
Good idea
I don't see why you need to stop the getaway it's great as it is. No need to change
I think the getaway should remain open in Kirk Hallam as it's convenient for people who live close by with a child with certain needs
The getaway is an a excellent spot for most of the families there.
Children with conditions like autism need routine and consistency and a change like that with have a huge impact on their transitions and they may lose their trust in the services provided
Keep the getaway , parents need the right support
The getaway short breaks are just all round better in every aspect and more efficient in every way. It's the best support.
strongly disagree
Children with SEN struggle with change. So a change in their routine will really unsettle them.
Disagree
It's not fair to the children who go here to have to travel elsewhere has they need routine and consistency
By closing the Getaway, will you still be able to provide a sufficient service for families that really need this help?
This is us not suitable nor practical for alot of the families that use the service
Will be a huge lose to an amazing service with specialised staff .
Disappointing
This could be detrimental to some families as they need this location for their child
Where are these similar homes? If children have to travel a longer distance than they currently do, I don't approve this proposal. Are they privately funded?
Better option, you have to provide short breaks services it is essential for families with disabled children
More support
The getaway is essential for families, stopping the getaway will cause families to break down
This depends on how far away is the proposed alternative
Not acceptable to parents may be to far away if an emergency pop up
Why dont they just stay at getaway plus unsettling the children
Don't agree with it
Due to the financial circumstances for the council I understand you need to cut some services. As long as money at the councils disposal is spent responsibly I would support this.

It is absolutely disgusting that your trying so save money by cutting these particular services. You clearly don't understand how vital these services are for support parents of disabled children for both the child and parents well being. In an already badly underfunded section of society nothing but stress and struggles which the anxiety of these cuts adds too massively, ITs simply NOT EXCEPTABLE.

There are very few in County provisions available and limited services to access. You will be leaving g families in imminent crisis.

We need more support, not less !!

If the service is like for like this is acceptable if agreed and doesn't cause extreme distress for the families that use it, I don't have a problem with changing the location not service.

The area where the getaway is provides an essential service. The area is vital to the area, with many parents unable to drive so it is local to the community. A community that is already lacking in services for disabled people. Having to travel to derby, Chesterfield ect is not feasible to lots of families. This area and their families need more places like this not less.

Whilst any ongoing support is better than nothing, the getaway offers much needed respite for families who face daily challenges with their disabled children. It also provides routine and familiarity to those children currently accessing the getaway, which is extremely important for children with additional needs.

It depends on criteria and quality of provision.

Any support better than none.

Needs to be local

The council needs to take full responsibility for finding and organising that provision including any extra costs eg for transport

Rather be at the getaway

It may be alright in some circumstances depending on situations.

This could be a solution but no doubt it would involve more travel and disruption to families already in need of a rest!

I think this would be a good alternative.

Why change something that works so well

It depends on if the break provided is similar and meets the needs of parent and child

You mean in private homes not county led

Means test on ability to pay

Disagree with all the suggested ideas! Money should've been budgeted better in all areas of social care to ensure services like these remain standing! It's shameful and embarrassing what is being suggested

The getaway is the best

Good idea

Leave the service as it is

The service you provide now is so crucial to these families and children. To cut the service would effect so many families. Times are hard as it is without these services would have a great impact on everyone involved.

You'll cut the service and not put it back anywhere else. Don't pretend you will.

I think this is a good alternative, providing the homes are suitable for the needs

i am very opposed in the planned reduction in services for very vunerable children and their families

This change could be very disruptive to all those involved

Better than nothing I suppose, but not ideal for the many that use the Getaway which is in close proximity to them.

Not acceptable

Depends completely on where they are as this may not be workable

I would strongly prefer to keep the short breaks at The Getaway.

If it works font change it

Ok if you have means to get to other providers. Not good if you have no means to

The getaway offers vital respite for families and their loved ones. It would have a negative impact if the capacity was reduced.

As long as there is the provision

#### Service Users/Other Category answers to Q4

If you can find similar short breaks for children like my son then I think that's a good idea as this short breaks helps my son

The word "we may" scares me deeply! My son needs this support. Without it we 100% can't do it. The benefits we get not only as a family, but for my son for us receiving short breaks. And this being taken from him or changed I don't know how we can do this. This is going to cause a significant step back in his emotional well-being. I can't express enough how this is going to effect my family our life's.

This is treating severely disabled children as objects and not people. The long term needs of these children is not being considered which is not cost efficient.

The Getaway provides a safe space for users and have a great atmosphere due to well trained staff. It would be a shame to lose this service and the great atmosphere that staff provide

I think consistency is crucial for children with learning difficulties and disabilities

If that was the only available option I would have to consider it. It would be a great shame as my son has only just become settled using the overnights at Getaway.

Totally inappropriate and with a total disregard to the impact on Profoundly Disabled Children and their families, The Transition to a new Setting is extremely challenging and takes considerable time to develop relationships and trust with Carers for both Parents and Child. From personal experience, Short Break provision is always compromised when the Setting provides both Residential and Short Break Care, so I don't believe there is another 'similar' setting in Derbyshire. The Provision is already stretched. Do you have capacity in other 'similar' settings?

There are not enough short break places available as it is. I have been in the position of trying to look for short break care for my child with a disability.

Families are really going to struggle to find alternative homes, in derbyshire, there is,nt any alternatives in derbyshire

My child has autism, adhd, significant learning disability for him to go somewhere else would be very difficult for him and us as a family. When he first started at the getaway it took over 12 months for our son to settle and start to enjoy his time there. To have to restart somewhere else alone would take us back 2 years, it's being very hard to begin with as he didn't understand why he was there, hard for the staff involved looking after him due to them having to get to know him. He now loves going to the getaway and loves the staff he's with. To restart would upset him so much to the point we would have a very unsettled child, which then would impact on home life. For us and for my son the proposal would not be a good idea.

Lack of consistency for children with autism & learning disabilities. Fractured relationships due to too many changes. Fear for children and parents for further future changes ahead.

I believe it would be better to keep the breaks for children The Getaway

If it means loss of employment for DCC employees with all of their expertise it is wholly unacceptable to farm out this provision to alternative providers.

Familiarity is what our children need

It's a terrible idea. The Outback is essential for children like my son. Funding should not be taken away from this outstanding service.

Where are these proposed short breaks you can't expect people to make an informed choice if there isn't any information

There are so few opportunities for short breaks that this will only create additional stress on already stressed families and stretched short break services.

How dare you try and take away service to support parents whose children have complicated needs. Remember we vote you in and give you a job. Why should the children suffer for your bad investments.

Some young people don't like change and prefer to have the same staff and surrounding to feel safe and struggle with change

Is there no demand for the facility then or is there demand in excess of supply? Are such alternatives available? Are the facilities the same? A reduced facility surely means the number of places will reduce? All of this suggests a reduction the services to those children and their families who are already having to cope with so much alone and with little support. This cut will affect the most vulnerable.

Distance south of the county to chinley is a huge distance.

Families need this service close to home, not the other side of Derbyshire, other homes surely are to capacity ?

The Getaway is very important to our local community and families rely on it.

Keep the getaway open.

Not enough provision is available, we waited along time for respite.

The getaway is vital for the functioning of our families. The children that are already attending would be deeply distressed with the change. I think the children and the families should be prioritised over money.

The Getaway is more local

"May" be able to???

I don't think the getaway should be fully shut down as a lot of children with disabilities have a routine of going to the getaway which helps them and their parents!

Once those beds have been repurposed, they will be lost forever. No council, charity or care provider will ever be funded to replace short breaks. It's foolish to think otherwise!! It's just another way of crushing help for families and ticking boxes for looked after children in the care system.

I think your proposal is not based in reality and is instead designed to fob off families who are already struggling to find support and who have been struggling for years. The Getaway was the final option for my son after every other avenue was exhausted so if you now remove that too, we are left with no respite at all. This is not good enough. Families fight endlessly for every morsel of support that they get and you suggest removing this vital support from the most vulnerable families in society so that you can save money. It's disgusting.

Other places do not exist for children with complex additional needs.....my family and I rely on the respite provided

I am a sibling of someone who attends the getaway and the getaway was the final respite option for my brother as the other services suggested here do not exist in reality, to remove this service would leave a vulnerable family struggling more than they already do.

I think that for any parent who needs a short break, the disruption to the provision will have a seriously negative impact

Services would become even harder to access than they already are which means more families will struggle without the respite

Short breaks are crucial to young people and their families. To remove this from a provision that already offers this and use other facilities is a poor use of time, money and resources.

Devastating news. A wonderful setting to help support families and their children.

Terrible. These will likely be commissioned services which are more expensive and therefore less will be available, harder to quality assure and safeguard and result in inconsistency and change in the people supporting some of our most vulnerable residents.

We have enquired before about provisions available within Derbyshire and they're limited / non existent or very far away.

Prior to our family being given a space at the Getaway we had had looked at different options including Link care. There were no suitable families to support his complex needs also the fact he is 2 to 1 mean't it needed to be two people. The work that would be required to ensure he would be safe in another house is massive and off putting. We firmly believe the only place that offers short breaks that can safely look after our son is The Getaway other than other permanent residential care homes. We understand now that there is a facility that is in Chesterfield that might be suitable for xxxxs needs. It would reduce the actual respite time we receive as it is at least an hours round trip each way, geographically separated from the hospital and school that he attends.

No Other places available in the area.

i like going to the getaway to have a break

I think that it is a poor decision. For children and young adults that attend the getaway it is challenging in the first place to adjust to going and staying over somewhere. To then have to change that location is not only distressing for the individual that has gotten into a routine and feels comfortable at their home it also affect the family who they live with to then have to adjust to their child going somewhere else. It can be very difficult at time to get a child with additional needs out the house let alone somewhere they have not been before with people they have not met.

Children are happy and settled. Put the families using this service first. It is their lifeline to continue to care for their children at home having a needed respite break.

### Responses from Professionals

It's better to replace support than lose it altogether! For some families, short breaks provide a much needed lifeline.

Children need to have short breaks as close to where they live to prevent further cost of travel for the council.

The Getaway have a valued team of exceptionally, experienced and dedicated staff who provide much needed short breaks for families . The staff team work hard to make strong , trusting relationships with the children and all involved in their lives. This has, in some cases taken years to achieve and would not be easy to replicate elsewhere. The Home

has achieved outstanding in all areas in a recent OFSTED inspection. Which clearly demonstrates the quality of care given.

I feel that the short break service provided at the Getaway for our families is something very special and it is a real shame for it to be finished. If the short breaks are offered at homes close by I think this is better than them not receiving short breaks at all. The short breaks are really needed for our families to get respite and therefore this helps our families remain together, if some of our families didn't get this support things could break down meaning the young person would need full time residential support costing more money and this is what we want to avoid for the young person.

The Getaway was built specifically for providing a short breaks service to families in Erewash and South Derbyshire. Any provision at "similar homes elsewhere in Derbyshire" is likely to be in the North east or far North of the County. From Swadlincote these homes are a minimum of a 90 minute journey, has the cabinet considered the following: The cost implications of children having to travel further to receive respite care, especially if using taxis or school transport? Has this been considered? The children using the Getaway have specific needs which make longer journeys more challenging, such as the need for toilet breaks or support with personal care. Consideration should be given to the behavioural challenges which may increase in likelihood and severity due to the length of journey increasing risk of injury to workers, service users and possibly members of the public. Do the families that use the Getaway get a choice in where their child goes for short breaks if provided at similar homes elsewhere in Derbyshire? What if families are offered a place but are unable to meet the travel aspects? After all, the families requiring short breaks are often exhausted - how does 2 four hour return journeys less than 24 hours apart offer them respite?

I think there is already a shortage of places offering short breaks and this is very important to be offered to families to prevent family breakdown.

Providing short breaks at other similar homes there isn't that many so this wouldn't work

there are limited beds and places of support in the county as it is. To reduce a service would have a detrimental impact to the future of young people and their families resulting in more families in crisis and more children requiring to be accommodated.

The worry is that there may not be other providers to meet the needs of the young people.

This would be detrimental to the children that already access the Getaway and feel comfortable and familiar here. Derbyshire is large county and so there is also a travel issue here.

If children and their families can be supported in another establishment and reduce family breakdowns by providing good quality early help care then yes, another establishment would be good to then allow the Getaway to become focussed on providing outstanding care for three looked after children.



I would not want the Getaway to stop the short term care beds, I feel some families are at Crisis point now. I am not just a RCW I am the Grandmother of a complex grandchild and I have insight to the stresses and worries that families undertaking caring for a child with a disabilities. Although the parents have PA funding to pay for care there is not enough carers put in the community. I have worked in Derbyshire and Nottingham county council adults and children's residential care homes for many years. I have seen many changes and do feel we have to assess the services and make changes to move forward. Have you ever thought of amalgamating the new build adult residential home in Ilkeston to maybe use one of their units to have over night stays for our short term care children at the Getaway. I do understand in the new build they have not filled the beds with adults, The last I heard there were only 18 residents in a 40 build home, surely that is wasting money. I have experienced this in Nottinghamshire county council, This was xxxxxxx in xxxxxxx. I was a team leader on the rehab unit it was a dual care NHS and council care staff working together. This was to help patients in hospital they had 6 to 8 weeks care to go home. This helped to empty hospital beds quicker and it worked very well. If you use the adult residential care home this could also make a seamless transition for children 18 year going into adults.

It would be better if the children are closer to home. There isn't enough places to provide this care already so it doesn't make sense to close residential homes

If the similar houses could provide the same environment and offer the same support this would be acceptable. I personally think this service provision needs to increase, there is a shortfall in service.

Not enough resource sufficiency and these external short breaks cost more than in-house ones.

Disagree

If this is the case, why has The Getaway been chosen over other homes for this proposal

This is only good if 1) parents have transport to other homes in derbyshire 2) children are able to emotionally and physically travel a distance safely 3) It gives children an experience away from home as other children of a similar age would experience 4) Its not too far so as parents get the break -- example travel 20miles each way for 1 night break isn't really a break.

This may provide more expensive and may not be a stable short break provision. It would however be beneficial for more in house provision for disabled children in care such as that similar to Peak Lodge as this would be more cost effective and closer to home for children in care making it easier to facilitate contact.

There are not enough alternatives - already short breaks get cancelled when residential placements are made. These short breaks help Carers manage to care for the child the rest of the time - prevents carer burnout. A lot of families unable to drive for miles and miles to have an overnight stay elsewhere - it no longer becomes a break if you have to drive a long way. Also DCC may then have to pay the extra costs of specialist transport school to short break setting. There are very few short break foster carers for children with a disability as an alternative. Short breaks are used to try and prevent residential care.

I think there needs to be some support / services to facilitate short breaks for families as this is what is needed sometime to prevent family breakdown / pressures

The skill base of those providing short break care will be vital in order for that care to work and not be set up to fail by staff unfamiliar with the intensity of need of some of the children they will care for. The environment will need to be complimentary to those needs as well - the environment could be the crux of that changed care working or not.

Could work but I suppose the children may not like change

Given the availability and location of the other short break council run services, I don't believe it is an option

What other homes are available? Whenever cases tender for respite there never seems to be any available places especially within in the right locations for the families

I disagree

My concern would be that the alternative homes may not have the capacity for additional children to use their facilities.

As long as the short breaks are provided for the families in need then its good.

It will have a detrimental effect on the Young people that are being supported at The Getaway for example:The needs of the young person and how the change would affect them has to be considered and not an afterthought. Some young people do not like change and like to stick to a routine. It's not always practical for people to get to similar homes as they may be too far away or the service is not always accessible due to full capacity.

The proposal has its pro's and cons. Short break children with families relieving consistent support. There may not be enough resources for children who require restbite care, and other local authority homes may not have the capacity to carry out further assemsents, with the additional pressure having to bring children from out of county into local authority homes.

I think this proposal is a load of bollocks, young people are struggling to find support within Derbyshire and when their has been an emergency case the getaway has been re purposed to support Derbyshire in looking after Newly LOOKED AFTER CHILDERN, When there has been no where else to place these children. The Getaway has been the back bone stop gap for these children and has been a light in a very dark tunnel, There was no available places for these children to go in other 'similar homes in Derbyshire'. Derbyshire currently cant meet all the needs of the children who require support, but shutting another service is definitely a way to go and support these families following an assessment, if you would like to have more LOOKED AFTER CHILDREN under derbyshire, better still send them all out of county so it costs derbyshire more money and the children are not at the centre of any decisions. The work the getaway do in keeping families together is impeccable and makes a huge difference to the children and families they support. Good luck saving your 1.9 million from the wrong service.

I strongly believe that this would causes unnecessary stress and upset across all the young people and their families that already use the services provided by The Getaway. All of the young people who currently attend The Getaways services are settled and thriving. Currently there aren't any other services which could provide a service to an outstanding standard to which we provide. Staff at The Getaway provide a home from home service to all the young people that attend their overnights, and to the emergency placements that have needed somewhere to be over the recent years. The support provided to the families that use The Getaways services has been above and beyond and had potentially stopped more young people entering the care service full time, as a short break has been enough for families to catch a breath and remain stable. The young people that attend the service have been able to experience new activities they would not have had the chance to do with their own families due to behaviours and/or family support, we are creating a better quality of life for the children and families. There are not any other homes with the capacity to support all of our children that we support therefore would be unfair to leave our families with nothing.

Don't see this as a proposal that could be put into action as there is no current capacity to offer current short breaks requests let alone further significant increases.

How far away would this be for families? Chesterfield & the families needs these services here

A good alternative

With finances being cut and resources stretched I'm unsure how you could do this. Both services are a lifeline to the families of disabled children. This is a disgrace.

There is already not enough spaces available, this will just result in families having their respite hours reduced

Find other accommodation

I feel that making changes to services for families who have such high level of need should not be an option for reducing spending. Children who use the outback service need continuity and routine and any changes would be hugely disruptive and would affect the mental health of them and their families.

Offering residential care at the getaway would save money rather than placing out of county. It will also save travel time for social workers enabling them to spend time on other cases and mileage money. But I do believe that there needs to be another place available to offer short break care and emergency placements for families in crisis.

may' provide is not good enough.

This would depend on the specific details, how close are they to the families, transport, will resources/funding be increased at those similar homes etc? If it is just expecting other homes to absorb all of the families that will be affected by this will have a really negative impact

Children with disabilities often require a period of time to settle and to adapt to their environment. Leaving children at respite is challenging for parents and therefore any change can be detrimental to their wellbeing.

cutting services for children is wrong

I do not think there will be space for short breaks at other similar homes. They are always full.

I think this is unrealistic. There are very few services that have the appropriate adaptations or expertise to take children with additional needs.

whatever is best for the children and service

I think that the Getaway meets the needs of young people and families. I wonder if the other identified homes are up to standard, if the staff have the adequate training and if this would cost more money in the long run.

You've cut services already to such an extent that there would be no other locations with capacity to have these children, you know it. There isn't enough provision for these families as it stands. Cutting these services is easy I imagine as these are some of the most oppressed and vulnerable people in our society, who's voices are not easily heard. Shame on you.

There is a shortage of Link carers as it stands already. Should you intend to short short brake services in South Derbyshire and already stretched service will be faced with further stretches and in financial strength. There is a plethora of children with a variety of needs across the county, who need a setting which is safe and secure, rather than a home setting which they could potentially destroy Due to challenging behaviours or signs of dysregulation. It is vital that short break services, such as the getaway and biology remain open for the benefits of the children and families across South Derbyshire! Not only this, but the children who have relied on these settings in emergency situation, such as removals from their family homes, due to child protection as well as shed care arrangements. They are therefore paramount to the safe running of the disabled children service. They are truly an integral part of the Care packages which are provided to children under the age of 18 who have severe disabilities and challenging behaviours. Stu work within the settings are highly trained and efficient. They are often multifaceted leaning on the staff to directly impact children and families lives is of paramount importance. To close the settings would be to the detriment of these families.

This is fine as long as location and travel distance is considered. The practicalities of transporting young people a distance for care is difficult and expensive. There is a shortage of providers of short breaks for children with disabilities but also a shortage of residential placements. Whilst I agree that more residential placements (especially emergency bed spaces) are required, I'm not sure that removing short breaks is the way to achieve these. Perhaps opening getaway 7 days a week would help. It's also difficult to follow a matching process with short break and residential in the same building. This is also often difficult in ofsted registrations

The locality of this service needs to ensure that all families across Derbyshire are supported. But, what is important is that there is short break supports for families to ensure that there is not a break down in the family and therefore the potential of a young person going in to care as a result. That short, regular break has a huge positive impact on the whole family.

Totally unrealistic as other DCC short break homes are running at capacity with waiting lists for new referrals! Moving short breaks to private homes won't alleviate costs as private short break homes are able to set their own prices for support which is usually a much higher rate than DCC short breaks would cost. Also DCC short break homes support some of Derbyshire's most challenging children who it wouldn't be possible of safe to support in foster or link care.

The families need a service in place to prevent future family breakdown

Prior to stopping/reducing shortbreaks at the getaway somewhere else needs to be identified.

The Getaway will be a significant loss to the families accessing this support. These families have gained trust and built relationships with the staff in order to get the much needed break from caring and feel happy knowing that their precious vulnerable child is in outstanding, safe and loving care. Home from home. The families need something in place to prevent family breakdown.

Current families need support to prevent future family breakdown resulting in high cost placements.

Short breaks are absolutely vital to stop families falling into crisis. This service needs to be prioritised as absolutely necessary for the wellbeing of children and families. It prevents long term care admissions by allowing specialist interventions to understand the care needs of children and offer advice to families. It gives children chances to experience new activities with support. It also gives parents chances to replenish their sleep and resilience.

There is such a need for short break support that there would certainly need to be space availability in the local area. This service enables families to keep their child within the home rather than the council then having to provide full time care for a young person.

The Getaway is a service dedicated to children with disabilities. I doubt if their case load could be handled elsewhere in Derbyshire.

Any external placement will likely come with it extra costs than internal at The Getaway, this will be detrimental both to DCC and the client and family. As DCC would end up picking up higher cost towards the respite placement.

Agree

Parents deserve to have access to short breaks services close to where they are in order to give them well needed time for themselves to have a break, take time for each other or simply get other things done. A mix of short break and residential would seem to be a good compromise.

Do you lot care about the care and provision already provided in these establishments for the children. It's utterly ludicrous to think that this a viable solution, safe or fair. I've never been so disgusted with a local authority.

This would be okay. My main priority is that there simply remains an offer of short breaks.

Families need to have regular respite care in order to prevent the family from going into crisis and the children potentially needing longer term foster care or local authority care.

DEPENDENT WHERE THE OTHER HOMES ARE. SHORT BREAKS COULD BE OFFERED VIA FOSTER CARERS OR TRAIN INDIVIDUALS TO LOOK AFTER PEOPLE IN THEIR OWN HOMES.

Families need to have regular respite care order to continue to care for their children and not to burn out/become exhausted. It may be that the distance could be a factor as well as availability.

The getaway is a valuable resource for parents of disabled children. Taking this away, I fear would lead to family breakdown. Parents of disabled children, particularly single parents have reduced opportunities for respite.

I think this is an unfair question! 'We MAY provide' is clearly offering a loophole which the council can use to opt out if this gets tricky or there's 'no funding'! If there are 'similar homes elsewhere in Derbyshire' what will happen to those who use those homes at present if the council wants to use them as 'short breaks elsewhere'?

Has Lea Green or Whitehall got capacity to offer short breaks? Why would you stop short breaks at the Getaway and offer them elsewhere? Surely if you are stopping the service it make more sense to offer families access to other support available. How will stopping short breaks at the Getaway but offering them in other homes save any money? Wouldn't you need to spend money to make more homes suitable and accessible? Could this cost more in the long run?

As much as this is in theory a good idea, i am sure there are more young people who need a short break service than other places can support. The closure of more short break provisions surely will increase potential for more LAC children to be placed.

I struggle to think 3 young people will class the getaway as their home and someone different will be visiting each night and then go home again. How far will you will young people have to travel to receive short breaks. How are you going to explain to these young people, some might have severe learning disabilities that they can't visit the Getaway anymore. This could be an important safe space for the child.

I struggle to see how this is feasible, it will put another barrier between families being able to access invaluable provision as it is already difficult to get short breaks. This will also in turn put more pressure on staff and the service in general.

The young people that access this service at The Getaway do not have a say in this process, they have built up relationships with their peers and with the staff. The families of our young people need this service, they have some kind of break with their family.

It would have a devastating effect on families and children. A lot of children will struggle to travel further afield and with the transition.

If a service continues to be provided, great. However, I think making this as accessible and as local as it can be is key for families. The Getaway is pretty central and good location for families to access.

Children and parents need services near to home to promote a sense of confidence and belonging. A local provision is seen as part of the support group around the child and can enable parents to carry on caring when the situation become more challenging.

It isn't solely on changing service, the children we support need continuity and reassurance. These children are not going to receive this by being moved regularly into a different environment and different people.

This will be an exercise in reducing the amount of children that receive short breaks as the assessment of need criteria will no doubt shrink yet again meaning that very few children will actually receive a short break service. The children that do meet the criteria and are offered places at other Derbyshire homes will at least still be receiving a service but at the cost of that child having to go to an unfamiliar place with unfamiliar staff who do not know them when it has taken a long time for that child to build up trust, confidence and feel safe with staff and peers that they know well not to mention how stressful this will be for parents/carers to let their child stay at a new establishment. Person centred practice seems to have flown out the window and these children are just seen as numbers and costs now.

Travel could be an issue for some of the clients and families.

There needs to be done provision or more will become looked after

Its the parents responsibly to look after their kids, not the councils

I believe that if you are going to stop short breaks at the getaway, you need to ensure that you are providing these elsewhere. This should be a definite rather than a maybe.

If Short breaks are considered elsewhere, it will limit the amount of families that are already using the other centres which will have a major effect on children who are used to routine and visit regularly. Obviously the thoughts of the children and families are not considered when making cutbacks.

This will not help with the people that are set out to lose their jobs , and that have put their time and efforts into keeping this service going .

Short Breaks for disabled young people need to be provided at specialist provisions with staff that are experienced & trained to provide care to young people with learning disabilities. Short term breaks need to be accessible to children and their families, travel distance, consistency, safety, quality of care all need to be considered

I believe offering short breaks elsewhere instead of at The Getaway would prohibit those families who are experiencing financial difficulties from using the service; they will not be able to afford to travel to alternatives further afield.

The Getaway offers a fantastic service to the young people that we support. I think it would be a terrible shame loose the short breaks for the families that we support ,not just only on their short breaks but with all aspects of the families and young persons needs. As the team go above and beyond to help families in which ever way they can.

There are very few other homes in Derbyshire that offer short breaks like the gateway does. The families who use the getaway would not get the service or support they require if it was to change.

This would be very disruptive to children who are used to and settled at getaway. Would there even be enough provision at other places?

Needs to be fit for purpose

The loss of most of the short break places will have a massive impact on the most vulnerable children in our communities. It will cause huge distress and anxiety to the children who have built very strong emotional attachments to the skilled staff. Just having a change of environment triggers distress and as a number of the children and young people are pre-verbal, they will express this in the only way they know how, by self-harming, such as head banging, biting and hitting themselves.

This may be traumatic for children who are already familiar with getaway.

Children need somewhere close to be able to visit. What would happen to the staff working there

Families need the short break care. If this can be offered elsewhere that should be considered, but alongside this, ensuring that the children are provided with much needed stability and reduced change

The parents of the children who attend the getaway strongly rely on these services and the children have been attending for several years. By moving the children to another facility, removing their security and familiarity of the people who care for them whilst at the getaway is not acceptable. There is no thought of the children and their needs!

although the service will still be provided, it will harm those who currently use The Getaway and do not have the facilities to continue to use such a facility at another home.

I think short breaks play a vital part in supporting families to prevent breakdown, and that we need more than are currently available. The Getaway is central to a lot of families and should be kept as short breaks to help provide this important service!

Why remove such a vital service from our community?

Do not agree. Due to attachments current children will go backwards in their development Families will be having to travel further outside of their community therefore very detrimental to their much needed break

Children with disabilities do not deal well with change. This would be a huge upset into their routines.

This would make things harder for the kids as there will be too many in one place for their specific needs

I think this is OK but short breaks would be better as it may be more familiar to families with children who have disabilities

Are there other homes that can provide this care at the same level and quality, without travelling further and costing more?

I think there needs to be something for both the children and parents to give them the break they need

Children who have been attending here are all settled and familiar with the surroundings. It would be a massive change that could have a significant impact on their life.

Ridiculous decision to close

Change is difficult for people who have autism so this would affect them massively when they are already used to the gateway

There are no other children's homes within the area and so therefore where would the children go for their respite which parents heavily rely on for that break.

There must always be breaks available for the respite care of the children and parents in need! It would be abominable to close The Getaway and abolish respite care for families in need!

What is the point in looking elsewhere if the provision, skill and relationships are already available. These services are needed more not less

The Getaway do a fantastic job working with the families who need the support given. Why change what works well

What about the children who are comfortable with going to the Getaway and wouldn't feel the same somewhere else. How far away would the other places be? Derbyshire is a very big area and not everyone would be able to get their children to the other places.

It will depend on capacity and location.

Poor and incorrect

they need to be the same accommodation each time for the same child. How would this save any money?

It needs to be local authority ran as it will have better oversight and accountability. the buildings need to provide the right setting for young people with LD and ASD: safe, familiar, all needs met. It needs to be a regular place so that young people know what to expect. If you don't provide ongoing short breaks you will have more family breakdowns which will be more costly.

The Getaway would be better

Other similar homes in Derbyshire are likely to privately run and could cost more.

There are already significant shortages of short break providers/facilities closing another is going to cause large levels of distress and anxiety to families in need. Signposting to other services is not going to help due to the demand of those services already being at capacity.

this could work but it would depend how far away and weather they were suitable. and if they were competing with other looked after children. both have high needs but different needs

Needs to stay local for the current users that need the service.

I think the Getaway would be incredibly missed- there is not enough help/ support for families and strongly believe you will see many more go into crisis by removing this service.

I Don't think it would benefit the children and their families.

By reducing short breaks at the getaway and using other short break places within Derbyshire won't save money. the affects will spread wider, affecting more families within Derbyshire. This will potentially result in families breaking down and a bigger number of young people needing full time care

Parents need respite, I would think that if they didn't have to travel to far it would work.

There are very few short break facilities as it is. Despite what I know to be extensive searches by my social care colleagues - availability of these are seriously limited and we could do with far more to reduce the risk of family breakdown.

Derbyshire covers a wide area that will not be accessible to many of the families especially the disadvantaged, the SEND individuals and those without transport.

I think this would be a relatively suitable alternative, but does not negate how much harm will be caused by closing The Getaway

Alternatives are definitely required. I feel anxious that there is a great need for provision and that this will not be available. Other homes are already at capacity. This will have a knock on effect for everyone.

Who and where will support the families who currently use for short breaks

I think this may end up being less cost effective as private residential stays which are only current option are more expensive. I feel that short breaks are essential for some families to prevent children being accommodated full time and that if there is great distances to travel to do this this may prevent families from accessing support.

The facilities are available and staff at the outback trained to look after children with disabilities. Other childrens homes in Derbyshire will not have the facilities and trained staff to look after disabled children. It will mean that disabled staff get a poorer service that does not meet many of their needs.



There is a lack of availability in short breaks as it stands, so if moving the short breaks to other building increases the amount you are able to offer, then this is something that should take place, however if it will reduce the level of short breaks being offered, then this is not acceptable.

I think that if its a choice between no short breaks or short breaks elsewhere, the focus must be on still offering this service to our families and young people. If they were going to be offered elsewhere in the county, sincere consideration must be taken for the location, accessibility and transport options. Surely however, if there is no Getaway and their 'caseload' is then passed on to another home, that impacts on their capacity.

Other venues could work if they provided as suitable a 'getaway' break for families. However, if that would be a reduction of service I strongly disagree.

I feel that things such as transport, capacity and change for those individuals need to be considered.

I think that with how many staff dcc are going to be making redundant soon, I think money needs to be saved wherever it can be, reducing staff is putting children of all abilities at risk, reducing services like this can be brought back if dcc have more money in the future.

As this is a purpose built building for short breaks which has provided much appreciated care for families it would seem to make more sense for them to continue with it here. If care needs could be met elsewhere then that would be ok.

By reducing respite facilities will result in more children coming into full time care and would be short sighted to reduce an already limited service

As long as short breaks does not stop and is in a similar location that would be ok

Agree

If you can offer what is currently available now, but at another location in the area that is acceptable

They is already a shortage of services to support these vulnerable families and you know there is no services available to them.

Everyone needs specialist breaks

I am really concerned about what these proposed alternatives are. It is already extremely difficult for families to access short breaks in Derbyshire, so unless more short breaks facilities are going to be created, which of course they won't be, this further reduces vital breaks for families in desperate need.

Families need this service

I think that cuts are being made from the top without a real understanding of the effects it has on families who rely so heavily on these short break periods. These families never get enough time to recharge their batteries, but the getaway allows them best chance they have at some self care.

These children need environment they have come used to triggers anxiety stress in moving them to alternatives

Keep this vital service open as it's very clear it's a much needed service

Everyone appreciates the financial pressures Derbyshire is having to deal with, however stopping/alerting effective services will only increase need further down the line.

Is this realistic? Is there capacity to do this? Are there similar DCC resources or are you thinking about the private sector? If it's the latter, is the market suitably developed to accommodate this?

I think it needs to be assessed on the needs of the child and the matching process. I.e sleep, compatibility, needs etc.

I believe there is different way to save money then closing short break. What other alternatives you could offer to families. what happened to children that are not LAC , will.they get alternatives? What alternatives - PA? That are so difficult to find especially to meet individual child needs (any specialist training). So when they won't find a one in a period of time, you will be able to review it and remove child budget because has not been used. I don't buy this it's awful that the most vulnerable children need to pay for it. We are such a crucial service for families. We are above and beyond in supporting children and their families to get stronger ,to avoid them to go into crisis. I can't imagine what impact closing short break will have on whole families that children's currently accessing the service. This is going to be heartbreaking.

You need to make sure everyone has some where suitable to go

If the individual knows the setting already changing this will have a massive impact.

Short breaks are essential

The current system works well

Utterly heartbreaking... The Getaway, a haven tailored for children with disabilities, stands as a beacon of hope in the southern county. Other options demand longer journeys, burdened with additional costs, and lack the tailored care our children desperately require.

May provide' is not an acceptable alternative

I think this will be extremely detrimental to many families. Sionsgs of those who have differently able siblings are often coined 'the forgotten sibling' in research. This type of service helps those children to access a 'normal' childhood, even for a short period of time. It saves the mental health of the parent(s) and offered vital independence for thr yoiing people who access it!

I think this would confuse and upset children who have attended this facility on several occasions. How far would these 'other homes' be? How would the children respond to different staff? Children with ASD for example would not thrive with these changes.

I think getaway should stay the same, the children know the house and staff and feel safe there. Parents go through a lot to get accepted for them to have over night stays etc for it to just be taken away & it is not ok.

Q5: Please provide any additional comments or suggestions you have regarding the proposed changes to the short breaks service at The Getaway

Members of the Public

if the Getaway was stopped for short breaks there would need to be a similar DCC provision available otherwise this would cost more to source externally

As stated service providers need to be identified before there is any reduction to present service, provided by The Getaway. A period of transition would also need to be monitored to ensure the continuity and appropriate level of care.

I feel that these questions are very badly worded and very confusing and contradict each other, which leaves me very tempted not to complete the form at all

I think the short break service at the getaway is crucial for lots of families and convenient for the staff. To disrupt or close the service all together makes no sense, there must be a way to save money, perhaps restructuring the management and thinning down the top salary personnel?

Interview all your Gateway stakeholders about what the service means to them and what they would be concerned about following its closure then publish your findings without editing them. Consult on those findings and assess the risk of discontinuing the service with a plan derived from the risks.

Parents and children must not see a change or loss of service

Your decision are not easy but supporting families with special needs is paramount as they become exhausted through the constant care their loved ones need and extended family are not always in a position to help with that support

Stop picking on a soft target.

Probably would be better to have the service remain where it is as it allows for minimum disruption

Stop cutting costs to social care , especially when it involves vulnerable members of society. A lot of these people involved don't have a voice and usually end up getting left behind or forgotten about In the 'system'

Short breaks are desperately needed and are a vital service, I don't agree with the reduction .

Services for Children with disabilities are so important and we should do everything we can to keep them. As mentioned the getaway is a home away from home and is so welcoming for the children. I would dread to think how many families would have gone into crisis had it not been for what The Getaway offers.

Don't think money think child !

Having seen at first hand the commitment and dedication of service providers to those most in need, I view this as a dereliction of responsibility by the local authority in providing first class care and support where most needed.

Local to people who need to use the service

Keep The Gateway

I feel this needs to be kept .

Whilst no assumptions can be made at this stage, there will likely be a change of National Government before the end of the year and an alternative policy would be to "muddle through" and see what a more caring government brings.

Remove middle management. Restructure massively. Too many chiefs. Not enough doing personnel giving practical support. All is possible. Should not take charities to provide the ACTUAL practical support. A massive shake up is in dire need in all children services and all educational settings Remove 50% of staff budget saved to provide actual practical support for children including SEN

A saving of £1.9 million when the mayoral election costs £4 million - absolutely disgusting

There needs to be a thorough impact assessment of the changes to not only children but parent/carers and the legal duties under the children act. Is there sufficient capacity for provision elsewhere in the borough and has an assessment been done to assure this? A reduction in short breaks could mean more children coming into care if families do not gain support.

As long as similar homes are easily available and accessible

it would be disappointing if there are changes to the children's short breaks

Shameful

I think you need to stop going after the most vulnerable in society and start looking at things that are not necessary such as huge wages for executives. Selling off buildings/land that are not being used by vulnerable people. This council is a disgrace. First it was adult day services and now its children's services. The amount of pressure you are putting families under is appalling.

The Getaway provides an excellent short break service to families in need and without it maybe some of those families would not be able to cope and young people end up in residential care

Do not take vital services away from disabled families.

I am unfamiliar with the inner workings of The Getaway but acknowledge the value they provide to families in need.

It be a shame if this program was to close

Please keep open

Please keep it open

I really am aghast that you are considering stopping these services, and I do not use them. I suggest to save money you cut the pay of the people who suggested this absurd proposal.

No changes should happen and out reach services should be resumed also

You are doing what you always do and discriminating against the vulnerable

This is going to cause families to break down

Don't close...

It helps alot of the parents who need the getaway for their child. It helps the child to become independent on their own. I strongly recommend to KEEP THE GETAWAY!!!!

More fundraising to help keep the getaway open?

Nothing to change please as this is heavily relied upon

Simply keep it open.

So not fair to people who rely on this service

The getaway is amazing for families as its helps them have a better life and manage their child. It would be heartbreaking for them for it to close as it would ruin their lives and families lives.

Please do not reduce much needed services for children with disabilities and their families just to save money. This is a short sighted approach, at best.

Short breaks are needed to support families with disabled children. They could not cope without them.

Could the much needed overnight one night or twice a week still be kept to give parents the very much needed respite to have a good nights sleep to recharge and carry on caring for their children at home and not needing to end up in residential care

Instead of shutting gateways don't give the councillor a pay rise because they are responsible for this

Keep The Getaway open, why close places that children and families need.

It is absolutely disgusting that your trying so save money by cutting these particular services. You clearly don't understand how vital these services are for support parents of disabled children for both the child and parents well being. In an already badly underfunded section of society nothing but stress and struggles which the anxiety of these cuts adds too massively, ITs simply NOT EXCEPTABLE.

I think re purposing tge getaway is a good idea, however the limited capacity for shortbreaks is worrying.

Please try and understand how this will affect people who already have such a difficult life

Short break offer much need breaks for carers and parents, some parents of disabled children don't sleep for days on end, this is not healthy or safe. These services offer very much needed recharge time for the children and parents/carers.

Families already at breaking point, jumping though hoops to get the smallest amount of help that, they so desperately need. It is already hard to even get the help from theses services ( I should no l've been trying for the last 10 years getting past from pillow to post). This will just make it even harder. Instead of short breaks why not give the Families just one night instead of some families getting multiple nights a month and others getting no help at all. If you knew how it would feel to just get one night, one night were you wasn't physically and mentally on the go caring for your child. Parents already feel they are failures just by asking for the help in the first place. I know money is the main issue so why not give more people the option if they really need the night break to pay for this themselves.

I can appreciate that DCC are facing financial pressures but it always seems to be the most vulnerable people who suffer. The Getaway provides a vital service to families and gives them a small amount of respite in a very stressful everyday life. Taking this away from families will have an extremely adverse effect and could lead to family breakdown.

Without short breaks family's will struggle and breakdown !

Enabling carers to have breaks when appropriate and needed are more cost efficient than waiting for family breakdown or increased l'll health.

Rather it be at the getaway

It could cause families more stress as extra travel would be involved and less opportunities for breaks

I understand the financial gains from amending the purpose of the rooms at The Getaway but the families requiring the help would be getting a much reduced service.

Cut your own salaries before cutting such an essential service

There are children requiring full time care ..

A brilliant service to so many ..why would you change something that is working

Keep it as it is.. It is crucial that these families are supported.

Some families have no other source of respite. Short breaks are a life saver in some circumstances

This is a critical service for many people and their families.

Short breaks are a lifeline for many families, reducing them would have a huge negative impact on families that already struggle to cope. Please continue to provide them.

Please consider the families u help and the long waiting list for your services

### Responses from Service Users/Other Respondents

This is essential for our family! And this scares me it is being taken away from us. This will cause our family to break down and my child being put in care. Taking the getaway from families you are going to have more children in care. My son is starting to settled in to the getaway with his severe anxiety which he is medicated for. This is going to cause a massive regression for him. We need this support. This is breaking point for us. This is our life's. Surely there can be another way.

My son attends getaway. Without it I would not be able to continue to meet his care needs as a widowed parent existing and working on a couple of hours a sleep a night. My sons behaviour is challenging and I need the respite desperately

I feel like it should remain the same as staff are well trained and provide a great service for users. You might not get the same level of service or care or the same atmosphere in a different setting

There's currently not enough services for disabled children so making cuts in this sector is ridiculous and will cause catastrophic consequences for the council and families

Disappointing news as my son has only just begun accessing the service. It would be a great shame at this stage and unsettling for him and other children to have to move elsewhere. Could the changes be 50/50 to be part shared by full time residents? With some overnights available for part time children?

The Getaway has consistently been rated as an Outstanding Provision which is a direct reflection of the capability, commitment and work effort of The Getaway Team – where is the logic in shutting down / repurposing an Outstanding provision? Stop exploiting the most vulnerable members of Society just because they're a minority that don't have the support, profile and sympathy afforded to other minorities. The Team at The Getaway are experts and this is demonstrated by the phenomenal level of care and support provided to Children and their families. The Management Team ensure the Setting is run appropriately and effectively. To be in the position to be accessing Short Breaks, the families impacted by this decision are already extremely vulnerable, and it is the Short Break provision that is enabling profoundly Disabled Children to remain at home. If this changes causes that to break down, then it will be a lot more expensive to take care of the Disabled Child if they end up in Full time care.

As mentioned above, my son loves going there and loves the staff he works with him. He started high school in September 2023 which isn't the right placement for him as they don't go out into the community which his old school did every day. He is now very reluctant to go out in the community with us as a family due to this, he has being put on medication to try to deal with just going to school but the getaway for him his the only place that he will go and enjoy currently since starting the new school last September. To take away the getaway would mean he won't go anywhere other than school (which is very difficult to get him there) and as a family we would be "stuck" in home all the time. The getaway have taught my son a lot of independence, supported us though all the issues that have come our way since September 2023. Going back to before the school change, he was so much more independent, loved accessing the community with the staff at the getaway, has a very strong and close relationship with all staff. They taught him and worked with him so hard to be able to do more with him. We as a family can't thank them enough. To take the short breaks away for us as a family means that we would have no "break" at all, we won't be able to spend anytime with my other children. The short breaks the getaway provide have helped keep our family together as it was at breaking point before he started the getaway. We have built strong relationships with the getaway and it's like my sons going to family, he currently asks to go (he's non verbal but has a iPad that he uses to tell us what he wants), he loves to go and we as family feel comfortable, trusting, confident that xxxxx is well looked after and cared for. We don't worry anymore like we used to as we know he's in the best of hands with them. If you was to close the getaway to short breaks our family would probably break down. For xxxxx it would be very very difficult for him to understand why he's not going there anymore. If he ever did understand. I plead with you not to close the getaway for shorts breaks, it's an amazing place and the staff that work there are amazing people. It would be very sad to see that happen. I have a suggestion that I'd like to put forward that is, if parents made contributions to the getaway for our children to still attend and beable to access it, I for one would have no issues with that as the place is amazing! If the contributions are towards running cost, trips out, food etc. it would be so so hard for children like ours to restart somewhere else and we would personally lose our family as it would separate because the getaway. short breaks saved us when he first started. So please don't take it away from us.

Why try to fix something that isn't broken. Our children need consistence, professional support from trained staff who they know & trust.

Should be maintained and kept in house. Budget short fall should be met from your reserves.

Do not stop funding for the Outback. Children with complex special needs and their parents already have to fight for even the simplest bit of help! The outback provides an outstanding service and are wonderful with the children!

This will be catastrophic to our family

Don't change a thing the work the team do at getaway to support the parents and the kids is unbelievable.

My young person can find change very challenging and has felt safe and content at getaway with the staff and the whole place he loves his stays there

If the outcome is to reduce costs then reviewing the cost of current provision should be thoroughly reviewed before closing/repurposing much needed facilities. Are private sector contracts being revisited as part of this exercise? Why not fund and empower the specialist provision schools to extend their offer to holiday weekend care where possible? These schools do a fantastic job within their tight budgets and know the needs of the children and their families best and could certainly support lower level needs.

This survey is very deceiving. What is the alternative if you disagree closure of the getaway. You should allow informed choice not manipulation of the truth. If you shut stb then u will need the getaway as a full-time residential unit as more children will be gaining in to care. Where are the alternative stb places chinley? That is a long distance between there and the south of the county.

Our child could not be cared for by any of our relatives or accomadated by a Foster family. Alternative provision provided by DAS was not deemed suitable either. The Getaway gave our son a safe space. His behaviour was challenging and he rarely slept. We also had direct payments for some daytime care but he could not access the community due to his behaviour as he was deemed too difficult.

Please do not take this away from us

They need to stay

I think any reduction in this provision would be false economy and cause unnecessary distress to the people who care (ironically the unpaid carers are the people who save authorities a huge amount of money already)

Not to be shut down! Maybe reduce how many children a time and have different times and dates to which children go!

Across the board cuts, closures and" re purposing has destroyed short breaks, personal budgets, surestarts, social care and so much more.

My son needs more not fewer breaks at the Getaway. I do not support this proposed change in any way shape or form, nor do I buy the platitudes that we as families will supported to find alternative respite when we've already exhausted that option. I have made an appointment to see my local MP about this hideous proposal. As I have said previously, it is disgusting that our vulnerable children should be made scapegoats for those who cannot manage budgets.

To take this function away is taking away a much needed service

I think it's disgusting that the Derbyshire county council are targeting the most vulnerable members of society as a result of their inability to manage their budget.

The cost saving measures are more likely to have the unintended consequence of family breakdown and additional costs of long term placements. It is very short sighted to reduce support to families caring for children with high needs.

These consultations are tick box exercises. People cannot accurately represent their feelings as they don't have an overall picture of the changes happening elsewhere to things like early help that will also impact on this. Less early help means more families struggling and more needing respite and short breaks. Your funding cuts are short sighted, knee jerk and offered in silos so that people cannot see the true scale until they need the service. As with all cuts to service the needs will still remain and will be pushed further into the system reducing positive outcomes. Go bankrupt already. We don't care. Anything has to be better than what you are currently doing.



We're very disappointed - we've only just managed to obtain access to this service. Provisions for children like our son are limited and this is likely to be removed too with no real suitable alternative - we urge you to reconsider as this is a lifeline for us as a family. We will be speaking to the MP / local councilors

We have used the Getaway with our son for the last 2 years and I can honestly say without the Respite support we receive from the Getaway our son would be in a shared care arrangement or our family unit would have broken up and each parent would be raising the different children in different homes. Although I understand the council has to make difficult decisions regarding budgets, it is my opinion that this is wrong. Early intervention of short breaks is more cost effective than the alternative which will see a number of children seeking shared care arrangements and the increased associated costs the council would have to find.

Don't do it

I don't want it to close

### Responses from Professionals

Where there is potential for a breakdown in the family removing short breaks to give the family some respite will lead to the children being placed permanently in the care of the local authority at a much larger cost. As well as emotional breakdown for the child and family.

The Getaway Short break services are an essential service for parents who deserve a much-needed rest while knowing that their children will be looked after to the highest standards. For the demanding amount of care needed for children living with disabilities. This is often enough to enable the families to stay together. The purpose-built building provides plenty of space and comfort for children who require areas where they can run and play indoors or outdoors.

Is there anyway in which we could save on costings whilst still operating short breaks? Looking at filling more rooms on stays, transport costs being reduced, sleep in's stopped, shorter/split shifts, food costings reduced? I feel that this service is really needed for the families that we support, I have a young person in my family with disabilities and I understand firsthand the impact that it has on the family at home, it is massive. Short breaks/respite gives the parents carers valuable time to rest and carry out daily tasks that may seem so simple to others.

When those families realise that the short breaks that are being offered are not suitable, they will undoubtedly be offered a personal budget for PAs. When this happens, is the need of the child considered regarding the training requirements of those people looking after the child. Does the council really want to transfer the care of its most vulnerable children to low skilled, inexperienced workers when they have a team of highly skilled workers with decades of experience and a purpose built facility?

Families will struggle if there is no respite for them to have a that break it is vital that they receive this in order for the family to function

I feel at present there is not enough appropriate places for young people who need the specialist support so to reduce capacity further would only have a longer term negative impact on the young people and families that need that support BEFORE they hit crisis.

The Getaway is a purpose-built facility which has so many benefits to children and young people with Disabilities. Its staffed with experienced staff and it would be an absolute travesty to close this facility. What a waste of money to let this close.

I feel that mixing both short breaks alongside looked after children would need to be carefully thought about with consideration to the three children who will live there. Will there be consultation with the children or their individual families to see if they want short breaks alongside full time care? Would this provide a calm and homely environment (having possibly another 6 children per week staying over with additional staff). Is this something I would want for my own child...?(No!) The Getaway is an outstanding provision that would be better focussed on one area...short breaks or full time residential care. Mixing the two is not feasible or thoughtful to the children who want to make it their home. Keeping The Getaway ensures that DCC have a strong workforce full of trained and qualified staff available to provide the best quality care for children with complex disabilities in a purpose built establishment. If short breaks are being provided elsewhere then let The Getaway focus on three children... don't mix the two.

I feel strongly that the short break service needs to be met, we will see more families at crisis point if families don't get the help they need. Parents do feel their house is not their home when they have carers coming and going. Their child also benefits from the Getaway by the staff encouraging them to achieve daily living skills. Every child's aims and achievements are very important, Some parents don't get the time or have the energy to help their child some times especially when there are siblings in the family. We could look further into savings at the Getaway. I am sure the parents would prefer to pay for their child's activities at The Getaway so that their child can still have short breaks, This would reduce funding by The Getaway.

The Getaway is a fantastic building with great staff - it must stay open

Before changing the services offered by the getaway an alternative provision for short breaks needs to be identified. After working in this service for 14 years I appreciate how difficult it is for families to find anywhere. Closing the Getaway to short breaks will result in family breakdown and more long term placements being needed.

I feel that there will be longer term cost impacts as a result of the proposals.

The reduction in short breaks is ultimately going to end in more young people coming into care which is counter productive - this is a short term win

The Getaway needs to be utilised more and have staff that are able to be there at all times for supporting children. It's not good to have building not used part of the week when parents and children are needing support.

Short breaks are an essential service for disabled children, without this service more children may be subject to care proceedings and coming into local authority care. which in turn would cost the local authority a significant amount of money.

The carers at the property get to know the children really well, they are professional and well trained.

Important that local settings are being looked at to enable local residential settings being made available instead of really expensive private providers needing to be used who can pick and chose which children that they accommodate

The reduction of any disability based service will impact the families of those children that may access the services currently provided, pushing more families into crisis and ultimately the children into looked after care

There are families who are going to suffer from these proposed changes and it doesn't seem like the people that are making the decisions have thought about that

It states that families would be supported to find alternative services, would they be in Derbyshire and would the cost be more than continuing to provide short breaks at the Getaway.

I presume the getaway will be more cost affective having LAC children residing at the home fulltime. However the additional pressures for respite children could hit crisis where full time care is needed, if children and families are not supported properly. This will put additional pressures on children needing fulltime care, that may promote children having to go out of county

Leave it Alone! in fact give them more money and let them open 7 days a week, 52 weeks of the year, to make more young vulnerable children, make a difference in the world.

This service does not need to change the impact that it has on all the families we support is incredible and could not be the same anywhere else. This service needs to be supported to be more available to more families rather than being taken away!

I believe the cancellation of short breaks will be a short term cost saving as the families that loose their service will have a high risk of then requiring bigger or full time care packages without appropriate support which I'm not aware is available.

These children and their families deserve every good thing. I work in a special needs school and although every one of our children is wonderful and important, they and their families need to be able to have respite. They need to be given chances to experience everything life has to offer. I am sure that The Getaway is a vital life line for some of these families.

I think this is a really short sighted proposal, I work in a special needs school and the Getaway service is some of the only respite some of our families get. Without this I believe there will be huge impact on the mental health of the children/young people, their parents/carers and any siblings etc and will very likely lead to much higher longer term costs such as potentially an increase in the need for foster care/NHS support services. This will also mean that already overstretched areas (education and social care etc) will be forced to step in to provide more support (pastoral, early help) without any additional funding. Everyone understands that budgets are tight these days but children/young people with special needs or disabilities and their families need support and it is financially short sighted to remove any sort of early help offer from them or making it harder to access. This also contradicts the UN convention of rights 'Article 23 (children with a disability) A child with a disability has the right to live a full and decent life with dignity and, as far as possible, independence and to play an active part in the community. Governments must do all they can to support disabled children and their families. ' and also 'Article 3 (best interests of the child) The best interests of the child must be a top priority in all decisions and actions that affect children'

The impact on parents and the safety of children will be dramatic

I believe this is short sighted and will mean that more families are pushed to crisis point. The Getaway is not a home environment for children in long term care. I believe that there will be a reduction in those offered overnight short breaks rather than children being offered breaks elsewhere.

The cuts you are proposing will cost more in the future, they feed into the hands of private companies with capacity to provide the service at double the cost, it's already happening yet you want to cut the services you do have? Find a way to make your cuts without directly impacting on the people you should be supporting. Absolutely disgraceful.

The Getaway is purpose built and would be a waste of resources and staffs livelihoods.

Families at the point of crisis benefit from the Getaway and this ensures that there is not a complete family breakdown and another child in the care system. The break helps keep families together.

Invest in the outstanding services Derbyshire already have, although in the short term it may cost additional funds with the authority may not have it will save the county far more in the long term

The Getaway provides a lifeline for many families across Derbyshire. The service provided is outstanding and it would be a tragic loss for families to lose access to their services.

Short breaks are essential provision.

In Budget proposal was to utilise internal homes instead of reliance on external and now you propose closing an internal placement or drastically reducing to create a reliance on external providers

I think that changing the function of the Getaway to almost entirely being a "children's home" defeats the object. The very name of the place "getaway" indicates that it is a breathing space for families and children needing specialist support. After reading all the available online information it is clearly of immense value to the local community and does inspiring work. I believe that there will be a temptation by certain services to "dump" difficult cases there for prolonged periods and this will significantly impede the mission of the Getaway. I agree with reducing costs by reducing the short breaks. That makes sense. But I don't see how offering long term rather than short term care impacts the budget in a positive way. 6 beds full of long term residents is surely equal to 6 beds full of short term residents in a financial sense. Perhaps thinking outside the box and looking for contributions and funding from elsewhere might be preferable. I wish I could help. It seems a wonderful place.

Staff at the Getaway provide incredible support and are highly skilled. It would be a great loss to the families who need this support if they could not longer access this.

I think no longer providing short breaks could have a detrimental impact on families and cost social services more in the long run.

short breaks are a valuable and essential need for families with children with additional and often very challenging needs.

IT SEEMS A SHAME TO CUT SERVICES FOR PEOPLE IN NEED. A REDUCTION COULD BE OFFERED IN THE FIRST INSTANCE AND TRIAL THAT FIRST.

I understand about the critical financial situation but this is the wrong approach in order to save money, cutting back on services that will prevent children/family breakdown resulting in going to expensive residential services that are being provided by private organisations that charge extortionate fees and poor unskilled services.

I think the building could be used for short breaks and residential care for disabled children. My understanding is that the building is not open full time. I think it should be as it is a valuable service for the children and parents.

I don't have children or grandchildren with disabilities, but I do feel very strongly that local councils should be prioritising children and young people, especially those with disabilities in the current financial climax. Disabled children and their parents and carers are being marginalised and a council in a country that claims to be civilised should not be using them as money-savers. It's immoral and it does the council no favours.

making it into a home for young people with disabilities makes sense as it is equipped to do this, and it may provide better value for money than paying for out of County placements.

To keep the Getaway service as it is or run it as a dual registration home to support both short break and LAC for young people with disabilities

The Getaway plays an important part in a young person's life, they can enjoy outings and visit places that parents struggle to take them

The Getaway is a safe, welcoming space, where are young people feel accepted and understood. A basic right that all people should have. It would be such a shame to close this service, taking basic rights from our young people, who haven't been given a voice about this.

While there is a desperate need for LA residential resources to enable children whose needs cannot be met by care in their family this should not be provided at the risk of removing services from other families also in extreme need.

Reimbursement of lost expenditure on PPE. Reduce tax evasions. I am sure that will create more than enough financial boost to our services and other areas such as our NHS etc that is in dire need of more support.

I believe that you have already made your decisions regarding the outcome of The Outback and The Getaway and our views will not be taken into consideration neither will the views of the families and their children and you will proceed to cut this valuable and much needed service anyway. This will no doubt lead to families breaking down because they are not getting that much needed support which will lead to more children being taken in to care full time and as there are no beds in Derbyshire for these children you will have to place them in to the private sector and pay their extortionate fees and the whole cycle will start again.

Respite and breaks away from home and into another environment, is essential for young people with complex and severe disabilities. It helps them to achieve a better quality of life according to the PERMA model and it helps to improve long term outcomes in terms of their mental and physical health. Such breaks also give parents and carers the opportunity to take a break for themselves, whereas otherwise they are often providing 24/7 care with no break at all. This is essential to prevent family breakdown, carer fatigue, and potential abuse of young people with complex and severe disabilities. If you were to stop this service altogether you have to understand and be accountable for the potential devastating consequences that you would cause in the process. It's important to note that many families cannot go on holiday for several reasons including, lack of availability of suitable accommodation, location, price of travel insurance, flight policies and so on.

No consideration for families that do rely on the support of the getaways. Personally I know I would be devastated if I knew cuts were being made without consideration. Families emotions, patients and care is obviously not of importance. Plus the care workers actually loosing their jobs because of cut backs. Money should not be an issue if the council actually cared. A lot of people are going to be effected, (horrible thing to say but) there could be deaths, neglect or lack of care due to not having respite. Obviously these are not of impotence though.

Without short term breaks being provided within Derbyshire, more families are likely to enter crisis which would result in more children needing to be placed in full time care. Short term breaks provide an invaluable service to young people & families & provide stable, supportive environments where other services can work with experienced staff to work towards positive outcomes for young people & reduce the need for young people to be placed into full time care. The Getaway could be changed to be a similar provision to Peak Lodge. If more bedrooms were made available/added, half of the service could continue to provide short term breaks & the other half could provide looked after/cared for services. If money was spent on expanding all three provisions in Derbyshire to enable all to provide the same number of beds as Peak Lodge (for looked after & short term breaks) then we would be able to reduce the need for placing children out of county, prevent families from going into crisis/breaking down. This would save Derbyshire County Council a significant amount of money in the long term. Removing/reducing short term breaks will cost the council much more money in a very short period of time, and over a long period of time the costs related to supporting families that have reached crisis & break down due to removal/lack of services will be catastrophic. We will see an increase in safeguarding cases, potential physical & emotional harm to children & young people, serious case reviews, children needing to be placed in full time care, parental mental health issues, and ultimately an increase in DCC spending. Cutting/reducing services will place children and families at risk.

The Getaway provides a crucially important service to lots of families who need respite support. Removing this provision will mean more families within our region will reach crisis point and require further intensive services, who are experiencing high demand and often have long waiting lists.

Alot of young people and families would struggle greatly if the Getaway did not offer the short break service any more.

Don't cut services for disabled children, please

The Getaway short breaks service is a life line to struggling families, prevented family breakdown and helping to support families to make changes required in CP plans.

Make the cuts in other areas and leave this alone

Don't change it!

I don't think it needs to change or close the kids are happy and shouldn't that be at the forefront and of everything!

Keep services within the LA. Using private providers is a short sighted decision which will cost more in the long term and perpetuates the financially driven care of vulnerable children.

It would be heartbreaking for a friend's grandson who attends regularly. It's a life line for his family . He loves it there , it's like a little etc family . His parents get a break that they fully deserve. Parents can relax with the knowledge there son is save and happy .

Bad decision yet again from the council

Wrong! Derbyshire County Council should think about other priorities such as how they waste money on agency workers and ridiculous furniture etc before cutting services that are so desperately needed! They should start thinking about care and putting people's needs before Budgets.

This seems very short sighted

They are vital to young people and families.

It needs to be local authority ran as it will have better oversight and accountability. the buildings need to provide the right setting for young people with LD and ASD: safe, familiar, all needs met. It needs to be a regular place so that young people know what to expect. If you don't provide on going short breaks you will have more family breakdowns which will be more costly.

Short breaks are a lifeline for parents with disabled children.

I agree that if second option was taken up this could save potentially a vast amount of money due to private residentials costings being high. If you were able to lower costings by running it as a residential, the finances saved could be used to run short breaks from a different location so families are still getting the help they desperately need and it still be more cost effective than potentially 3 children in private residential.

Families and children currently using the service need it to carry on to support both the children, families and siblings

There should be more homes like the getaway- not less.

Would a 3 bedded residential service save much financially? stopping short breaks for families put more pressure on them and impact home/family life to the point of potential breakdown

These limited services are lifesaving for some families. We need more of these - not less. I feel any reduction in the provision would be counterproductive and lead to increased costs to the council and to society in general. It's disappointing that after so many years of the plights of these families being highlighted after major incidents/ scandals nationally that these most vulnerable are being targeted within Derbyshire for cuts. I strongly disagree with these proposals.

A lot of the families are at breaking/crisis point just to request respite for their young person this will certainly impact on a lot of lives.

I am not opposed to having more in-house full-time placements, but I do worry about the knock on effect to short breaks provision.

I feel the getaway needs to be able to continue to provide short break care as ultimately this service will prevent some families from going into crisis and save money on costly placements when there is family breakdown

I am deeply concerned about the impact this will have on the families I work with and the families who use this service and services like this. I am worried about the increased pressure this will put on schools and other services and the safeguarding risks this could lead to within families who are already under a lot of strain and pressure.

You need to stop cutting back on services for disabled people and vulnerable children and instead cut your own managerial workforce and salary bill. There were DCC jobs advertised this week at £75k and cutting services when you offer these kinds of wages is immoral.

It is sad that many valuable services are now being reduced affecting young people with vulnerabilities. There are little services such as this available to young people and families and the inclusion gap will be growing exponentially.

The capacity should be increased not reduced, early help respite care will help families cope better and stay together

The Outback is a unique setting and service supporting children with special needs and there is no other services out there that can offer the tailored support the Outback does. I feel the council does not understand the service and have seen it as an easy cut because of this. This service has prevented endless family's from breaking down and supported many families to live with children with complex needs ensuring they go on to have better life opportunities. This service is exactly the proactive service we should be championing and definitely should not be cut.

If these families don't get the Care and counselling they need they will break, and then you get more problems in the future as Family units breaking down.

All very short sighted - you need to invest money to save money. We'll just end up with more children needing expensive, out of county, full time residential care.

Families need this service

Please put yourself in the position of these families, who will face struggles on a daily even hourly basis. How would you cope knowing that any help you receive maybe taken away from you. Also how is it possible to know for certain these breaks will happen elsewhere when other home, buildings and services are being cut left right and centre.

I think changing or closing all beds at the getaway is short sighted ...I worry as to how families are going to cope

Needs of the child to be taken into consideration. Distance travelled. Staffing and experience. Keep Derbyshire children within Derbyshire

I'm feeling devastated that the possibility of closing short break is real. I'm worried that children that are not LAC will become soon after.

It is currently quite good system that families are dependent on why change?

While council budget constraints loom, slashing funds here feels like a cruel blow. The world shrinks for these children with every cut, denying them access to essential care and support they cannot find elsewhere. Change may be inevitable, but it must be thoughtful. Weekend/holiday clubs could offer respite for families excluded from short breaks, alleviating their relentless burden. Many of these children know no rest. Their families yearn for a single night of sleep, a basic need denied them. The toll of sleep deprivation is unfathomable, yet it is their daily reality. Families teeter on the brink, clinging to these short breaks as a lifeline. Each stay becomes a countdown, a fragile thread keeping them from full-time care. As an employee, I see avenues for efficiency without sacrificing essential services. Yet, this consultation feels futile, as if the fate of the Getaway has already been sealed. It's a formality, a bitter pill to swallow.

Continue the serve as at present.

I think getaway provides an excellent service to the children and families they support & to take it away would be the complete wrong decision.

Q8: Please provide any additional comments or suggestions you have regarding the proposed changes to the Outback service

Responses from Members of the Public



The outback provide significant support for parenting assessments including for court, would these have to be sourced externally which is very expensive

I agree that the service needs to include these proposals. However the service also needs to be more proactive and provide support from an early age. There needs to be ongoing long term support to help families address both the emotional and practical impacts. Short term packages of support fail to work for most families, and the department then ends up providing reactive solutions. Families need practical ongoing long term support to address their changing needs. The service needs a proactive approach from the time of child's diagnosis to help support both the families/ child and equip them with the tools and emotional support on their journey. The service needs to include parenting courses and support groups which parents / carers can access. The proposals don't identify who will be responsible for completing and overseeing parenting assessments. However a proactive approach would help eliminate/ reduce the need for these assessments, as they are often part of a reactive solution and may well otherwise, have been avoided, if the appropriate level of support had been provided and maintained.

The outback service currently provide an exemplary service to many families and young people. The staff team are extremely skilled and will easily be able to adapt to the new proposals.

The outback is an invaluable service to children and their families. It would create further negative impact on the future of children if this was to close

It will be a huge loss to the children in the community if this service was to go.

I think that it would be a huge mistake to get rid of this service

I think the service they provide already is superb and benefits many people

Outback supports many families including that of a friend of my family and I know that support is vital for them as a family for their wellbeing. To close this service would be an absolute disgrace

I agree with the sentiment of the idea of stopping children going into care but in reality that would be a long way off. What about the children in care right now? There has to be some sort of transition period.

Short stays/respite stays for children of some families are critical to them being able to sustain family life at home. If you think changing the way the service is provided is 'the way forward' then test this out by offering them a place in the proposed format and evaluate how that works for all stakeholders.

Reducing services in this area is abominable. The government will waste millions in areas which provide no value yet restrict critical services to people in dire need of support.

Support must still be offered to families in crisis without loss of funding a safe caring family environment for every disabled child must be a council priority

Once again why is the worst in society that have to suffer. Too many managers, too many rules, too much paperwork! Try a complete restructure of all services and save this one as much as possible

Why would you change a service that benefits many families that are struggling. You should spend better and use dcc funding in the correct way and stop wasting taxpayers hard earned cash

The support the Outback teams are giving is invaluable much as I agree with the change but I would think long and hard before making any decisions as they are doing an amazing and valuable role in supporting families with difficulties

Mealy mouthed words that mean nothing. Continue with this very necessary service and make savings elsewhere.

The Outback Service currently provides essential care and support to children with disabilities and their families who, without this service would go into crisis and would further burden the care system and incur higher costs than this service currently costs. The Outback Team deals with families before they go into crisis and therefore prevents significantly higher costs long term. Short term policies cost more as it more expensive to recover than prevent families going into crisis and children going into care, which also effects their long term opportunities in life.

We really be more advantageous to the family and children to remain as is

There should be adequate facilities for both, both are very important but I strongly disagree with getting rid of one service there to provide another .

If you close the outback, you'll have a lot more work on your hands that you don't realise. Children and families rely so much on this service, a vital aspect of their lives and are a part of their community. If you close the Outback, families will reach crisis a lot sooner with no support, causing more children to potentially go into care. In the long run this is more money and seems like a total waste of your time. If the cut-backs are about money, you should probably start at the top (management) and then work your way down instead of find ways around it that dramatically effect families and their children.

Look elsewhere! Why close a fantastic facility? The new proposal is excellent but open another building, do not close one for the sake of another.

You are basically proposing to cut back on services where there is an ever increasing demand. This government, with its ignorance and disregard for vulnerable families, should hang its head in shame. This service has recently been nominated for an award. The county needs this service in its current format. Removing or 'streamlining' this service, or whatever name you want to give it, will have a major knock on effect in other areas, no doubt costing society more in the longer term.

Closing this service will have a massive effect of the children and their families. Without this support they will feel they have lost their independence and put a strain on the family. It is vital these children have continued support

Where is the support for the children and families going to come from if the Outback is closed? I worry for their wellbeing and don't even want to think about what will happen for those poor families that do not get the proper support and respite that they require. I think even considering closing down this service over anything else is ridiculous.

Just keep things as they are

This service is very important and would if changed affect so many families which would be disastrous.

I feel like it would be a very bad idea for the Outback service to close or stop.

Assuming that improved service to prevent family breakdown would prevent disabled children needed care facilities is extremely naive. There may be an improvement but some care will always be needed no matter how good the prevention of breakdown service is. I suspect that accommodation for 10 children wouldn't be 10 times the cost of accommodation for 1 child so removing the service altogether is simply false economy and misleading.

Obviously not run properly if your considering closure. Probably accountants considering this who are not actually in the service centre. Remove staff whom are not practical and it will succeed

As long as this dovetails in with the other proposal to utilise other spaces for short breaks as this is an important service and to loose all short break facilities in favour of other different support is counter productive

It won't happen, part of the service will be withdrawn and the proposals not put in place

This will be very bad for already disadvantaged family's

The Outback provides specialist support that is not easily replicated and if lost would have a significant impact on disabled children who are in need in Derbyshire. This is valuable in preventing family breakdown. In terms of point 7 is this not what Outback already undertake as outlined in overview? therefore feel that this question is slightly ambiguous and not clear on what you are proposing to change. I would strongly disagree with outback shutting entirely. The specialism the staff team has is highly valuable and to tender out would not produce value for money. The work on parenting, work with SW colleagues and health is invaluable. Has an impact assessment been carried out by someone with a disability background?

It appears to me that closing the Outback service would severely reduce your ability to prevent vulnerable families and children with disabilities from falling into a crisis situation with far worse outcomes for them. It seems short sighted and badly thought out.

i think the outback do a very good job as they are and that their service does not need to change. however if the service has to change i believe the staff are skilled and professional and they will still have a focus on young people and their families. from what i know about the outback they have helped many families and supported them to make changes themselves.

I have seen first-hand the positive impact the Outback has had on a family in my street. The staff have been wonderful and helped the Mum and Dad and as a result they have managed to reunite as a family unit. No other professionals have ever made progress but the Outback staff did!!!!

STOP. STOP. STOP. you are all despicable.

There are more and more families struggling with the stress and pressures of everyday life and many are becoming more and more vulnerable because of this and they need support and help to navigate through the problems

The outback are an essential service for families on the edge of crisis. If this service is removed the results will be devastating and far reaching.

I think families using The Outback would be devastated at it closing & their futures would be massively affected causing major trauma to their lives without this support.

'I really am aghast that you are considering stopping these services, and I do not use them. I suggest to save money you cut the pay of the people who suggested this absurd proposal.

Change this is going to cause families to break down and more children in care

Kids with special needs need a place like this..

Needs to remain the same

My main concern is that it will end up putting pressure on other services that are already struggling

I have no knowledge of the Outback service so cannot comment other than to say please do not cut services.

This form of action could put disabled people on the streets

Keep services as they are.

Strongly disagree

Families already at breaking point, jumping through hoops to get the smallest amount of help that, they so desperately need. It is already hard to even get the help from these services (I should know I've been trying for the last 10 years getting past from pillow to post) This will just make it even harder. Instead of short breaks why not give the Families just one night instead of some families getting multiple nights a month and others getting no help at all. If you knew how it would feel to just get one night, one night were you wasn't physically and mentally on the go caring for your child. Parents already feel they are failures just by asking for the help in the first place. I know money is the main issue so why not give more people the option if they really need the night break to pay for this themselves.

The outback provides respite for families who face daily challenges with their disabled children. It provides a safe and welcoming environment for young people to have a break from their everyday life.

It would have a negative impact for all concerned if the service closed. I am aware of the amazing service they provide and the devastating effect it would have in the event of closure.

I am aware that the outback team do some magnificent work to support often vulnerable families with children with disabilities. The workers are skilled and experienced and offer a fantastic service. My understanding is that the outback is more to prevent crisis' occurring, personally I feel that prevention is better than the cure (crisis management). I believe that the outback team do however do some crisis management (to a high standard) and that one of the proposed changes to the service would be to increase the crisis management offering. The Outback team are well placed to do this as they don't work 9-5, Monday to Friday, they work unsociable hours and weekends. Crisis don't just happen during the working day/week.

Services aimed at provision for disabled children and their families are already stretched to meet demand.

I think the outback services provides an amazing facility and I don't think the amount of care and time will be provided for those who need it if it's closed.

It would be a terrible thing for this service to close down for users and their families as well as the workers. This is a service that is needed

I am aware the outback do this kind of work and I definitely disagree with closure as I think the work is essential. The workers are experienced and will deal well with change if it is required.

If change is essential and the amendments cannot be avoided I know the team will use their experience and expertise to make it a success.

Ridiculous

There are other organisations which can be used for respite

Leave it alone ..too many cuts to vulnerable people already hapoening ...should be ashamed

Cutting services for the most vulnerable will simply end up with morec child deaths. More injuries. More poverty. You should be ashamed of yourselves.

To reduce the support to only crisis is a very negative response to supporting children and their families

I would the Outback service to include short breaks for children.

### Responses from Service Users/Other Respondents

Closing short breaks how am I meant to function and be a mother on the little sleep I get with my son? It isn't heathy and I will break. I want to keep my family and this service helps us do so. It re charges me and keeps us all going. The benefits to this support for my family i just can't put into words how much we need this.

It's a great idea to give children and carers a break, to offer support by trained staff and to work to keep families together. Many families are very grateful for the support already received via Outback and wouldn't have managed without the service. The service is second to none

I rely on the outback services to help support me in looking after my child, if any of the help we receive is cut I would be forced to look at a full-time placement for my child

Again, a great shame as it offers a tremendous support

I am a parent of 3 children with a disabilities. The Outback have supported me and my family and I feel without there service and support my family would not be where they were now. One child is in fostercare at the moment and looking to transition home. The Outback have supported us through nurture sessions providing advice and support and building our family relationships. I feel that this has been an essential service and has prevented family breakdown. I feel if you take this away many families will struggle.

As mentioned above, my son loves going there and loves the staff he works with him. He started high school in September 2023 which isn't the right placement for him as they don't go out into the community which his old school did every day. He is now very reluctant to go out in the community with us as a family due to this, he has being put on medication to try to deal with just going to school but the Getaway for him his the only place that he will go and enjoy currently since starting the new school last September. To take away the Getaway would mean he won't go anywhere other than school (which is very difficult to get him there) and as a family we would be "stuck" in home all the time. The Getaway have taught my son a lot of independence, supported us though all the issues that have come our way since September 2023. Going back to before the school change, he was so much more independent, loved accessing the community with the staff at the getaway, has a very strong and close relationship with all staff. They taught him and worked with him so hard to be able to do more with him. We as a family can't thank them enough. To take the short breaks away for us as a family means that we would have no "break" at all, we won't be able to spend anytime with my other children. The short breaks the provide have helped keep our family together as it was at breaking point before he started the Getaway. We have built strong relationships with the Getaway and it's like my sons going to family, he currently asks to go (he's non verbal but has a iPad that he uses to tell us what he wants), he loves to go and we as family feel comfortable, trusting, confident that xxxxx is well looked after and cared for. We don't worry anymore like we used to as we know he's in the best of hands with them. If you was to close the Getaway ;to short breaks our family would probably break down. For xxxxx it would be very very difficult for him to understand why he's not going there anymore. If he ever did understand. I plead with you not to close the Getaway for shorts breaks, it's an amazing place and the staff that work there are amazing people. It would be very sad to see that happen. I have a suggestion that I'd like to put forward that is, if parents made contributions to the Getaway for our children to still attend and be able to access it, I for one would have no issues with that as the place is amazing! If the contributions are towards running cost, trips out, food etc. it would be so so hard for children like ours to restart somewhere else and we would personally lose our family as it would separate because the short breaks saved us when he first started. So please don't take it away from us.

Children with autism and learning disabilities don't like change. They need to feel safe, involved and with staff who are consistent, well trained and are aware of their needs. Without the service my family would have broken down many years ago.

This sounds like a good idea which will be beneficial to the wider community. I know that the Outback team are a strong team and very passionate about their work. It will be an absolute travesty if the Outback closes altogether, I don't think many people realise the impact they have for people in their care, why would we close down such an amazing service? Even if there are changes to their role, they need to able to continue their fantastic work. They have provided a high standard of care to many families and improved the outcomes of many children/families lives

If such a change in approach protects the positions of trained existing staff it might be worthy of consideration but not if it is out-sourced.

Don't stop funding it! In fact, put more funding into it.

Make your cuts somewhere else. Cutting support to families that need it the most will be counter productive

Why are you cutting critical services.

I find it very disappointing that these 2 places are considering of closing we have never found anywhere else suitable for our young person to go after school where he can be himself and have that little bit of independence without us. He absolutely loves his stays and I get big smiles as we drive through the gates and he sees his team waiting for him.

Agree that the most critical care cases should be addressed first so understand that this should be a focus for Outback service. However, by reducing early intervention and leaving vulnerable children/families without support until it becomes critical is incredibly short sighted and will just increase the number of families needing critical support and put many more vulnerable children at risk. This must be a safeguarding concern. Those who don't have to live daily with the challenges having a disabled child brings cannot begin to understand how important having support is. Many families end up cut off from daily life with no support or respite.

I hope you have deep pockets for when it goes pear shaped.

Families need all services to stay as they are, people depend on them.

Its a much needed break for the parents. Whos child doesn't sleep well and wakes in the night. Then stays a wake.

Our son was turned away by these activity clubs.

Short breaks is essential for family's ect Getaway is needed

What are the expected savings under the new proposals? Has the monetary cost of impact been calculated?

This service helps children which are in need of the service!

How would you fund, putting back in to play everything you've spent decades closing. What would be the criteria level, how many families could this venture reach? It's the carrot to get people to agree to closures in hope something else will follow! It never does!!!

Once again, a suggestion that targets the most vulnerable families in society. We need more funding, not less.

Absolutely ridiculous....this is one of the key services that should be red ringed.

I personally think it's absolutely outrageous as there aren't many institutions at all that take on special needs children so it really hinders the vulnerable children as well as their families as there's very limited support.

I agree that services should prioritise helping families at risk of breakdown and long-term care placements. But reducing any services that help prevent families getting into those circumstances is inevitably detrimental

It might only be for a few hours, but the families gain so much from this service. The children are catered to based on individual needs in a safe environment whilst other children of the family get one to one time with parents that they wouldn't otherwise get to do 'normal' things like going to the shops or having friends over which wouldn't normally happen. Because of this service, my other child was able to have her first ever birthday party. Because of this service, we can have her friends round and doors open in the house without safety fears for just those few hours a week which makes such a huge impact on everyone. And the best thing is, at collection time my child has a massive smile on her face which means the world.

The outback as a provision and as a staff team has been an incredible lifeline to our family. The potential closure is utterly devastating and would have such a detrimental impact on both my children who access this service. Living with children with disabilities is an extremely challenging and exhausted situation to find yourself in. The outback offer so much in the way of care, support, knowledge, resources and a safe place where my children are now thriving! Without the outback my children would have no support out of our home and would have no interaction from anyone with the knowledge and skill set the team at the outback have. Absolutely devastated at the thought that the centre could close all together and or the fact we may not meet the new criteria for my children to continue accessing if it remains open. Support for children and families with disabilities is extremely limited within Derbyshire and to look at closing such a wonderful provision just adds to the lack of understanding Derbyshire County Council has for children with disabilities.

Simply if it's not broken why try to fix it. Utterly shameful that this is even being discussed. The Outback is an incredible place where my child feels safe, engaged well and have shown great signs of progression since starting there. Not only our my children thriving but this also offers valuable time for myself and my partner to complete very simple tasks which otherwise would not be possible. The support from the whole team has been second to none and we are devastated that there could be a permanent closure or we would be unable to access the Outback due to not meeting the new criteria.

Focussing at the higher level and removing lower level support will push more families into the higher levels at a detrimental to them and other services.

For families with disabled children with complex needs early and consistent respite support is required to prevent family breakdown/up and children going into residential care. Emergency intervention will just cover up the cracks for short periods. In our case we are raising 3 children and our 1 child's disability effectively disables the choices and chances of the siblings without the right level of support. Whether the Getaway could be better utilised and provide a greater amount of support to others families I am sure it could. But, without the respite it provides - it will lead to a greater number of family breakdowns and more children that entering residential care. I would strongly urge the council to reconsider this decision.

### Responses from Professionals

The Outback plays a key role in supporting children and families across Derbyshire to stay together as a family and bring about positive change. We cannot lose this vital service that also supports many children that are in child protection processes.

You are cutting the service to be so small it isn't going to be effective in the work it does, Community activities are being shrunk due to the money spent in the council. Consideration needs to be at senior management level and not on the ground floor from those supporting families and vulnerable children.

Again, another very important service to the parents and young people. Short breaks are very much a needed service, it is good that the Outback can offer the support that is needed to help the families and young people.

This service currently supports so many young people and families and works preventing family breakdown and provides support and advice to many families with children with disabilities.

The Outback has been providing vital support to vulnerable families for many years, these families who were struggling with their parenting, important respite and that role modelling behaviour support that has is much needed to bring about positive changes to their parenting. Providing targeted, holistic wraparound support to families to build resilience and reduce the risks of family breakdown and children entering full time residential care is such a huge thing to then no longer have that will no doubt have a massive knock-on affect to the families that have been supported over the years. The team have many skills which have been of benefit to families that have been supported and helped, the team have also grown in confidence in providing what's been needed. To close The Outback would have devastating consequences to the families that currently rely on their help and support.

The Outback Service already provide and undertake this level of work to support families in crisis and families that need the support before they hit crisis. If you were to close The Outback service this would create an increased pressure on other service resulting in more worker burn out, low worker self-esteem for fearing they cannot do their job properly to support families, more staff sickness from time off and impact on job retention rates. The Outback service provides an invaluable level of support to children and their families and this is a service that needs to remain in place so they can continue to support families across Derbyshire.

The Outback offers a unique service to children, young people and their families with disabilities. The service has years of success working with families in need of support, on the edge of care, in PLO and Child Protection. The team are highly skilled and committed to making and sustaining change for all of the families they support. They work with both children and their parents, unlike the recently formed Stronger Families, Safer Childrens Team, that only works with parents (not children) and doesn't work out of the Monday to Friday 9-5pm hours of work. The Outback staff are extremely flexible and work from early morning to late evening to meet the needs of their families. The holistic approach that The Outback offers allows families to effect change together. The teamwork is excellent and between them they have a vast variety of skills to support the most challenging of situations. The Outback have provided emergency support on so many occasions to families in need of crisis intervention and go hand in hand to support the Children with Disabilities Social Work Team. The Outback work tirelessly to ensure that they do the very best for our families. The Family Support Service is invaluable to our families that need daily support in the home to allow them to keep their children at home, where they are loved and cared for, and in turn this service alone saves DCC thousands of pounds keeping children out of full-time care. Closing The Outback makes no sense at all. I was absolutely astounded to hear that this was a proposal of the cuts. Without The Outback, there will be so many families with no support and this will end up in further crisis and children requiring full time care placements. I think it would be good for SMT to really understand the impact of closure and spend some time understanding what an amazing service The Outback is.

The vast range of work and support that The Outback service provide not only to vulnerable children and their families but to other professionals is second to none and valued by many. Without this service there is no doubt that many families would fall into crisis and suffer as a result. The Outback workers always go above and beyond to ensure the welfare of the families in a caring and supportive non-judgemental way. This is something that is commented on regularly by the families and professionals that we work with.

Early Help= prevention of family breakdown. Hands on support for families is key.

I feel that this service is needed and valuable to the families of children with disabilities



The Outback needs to stay open it is a really experienced, valued team who focus work on individual families and cater to individual needs. Workers build up great relationships with parents children and siblings and offer an all-round comprehensive service. They get fantastic results and really make a difference to people's lives. They visit early mornings, late evenings and weekends to observe a get a true understanding of a families' strengths and weaknesses. Compare this to the Stronger Families Team who do not even visit children at school or home when they are working with a family!! How can this work!! They see parents whilst the children are at school and that is it.

The Outback used to offer group activities which was extremely successful. They also offered a short break by taking the children on holidays. This was also successful and much needed support to families.

Domestic support can be commissioned cheaper externally. Activity clubs can also be commissioned cheaper externally. Outback should be used to focus supporting the social work team in order to prevent children coming into care and continue to undertake parenting assessments.

The impact of losing early help will result in more family break down

The Outback have always for many years provided the support our young disabled people require. They can offer the hands-on support that social care workers on the frontline, do not have time to offer. To lose this service would be a great shame and would put more pressure on already stretched social care workers. The ripple effect could be that many frontline workers leave, leaving more pressure on the service. The Outback used to offer more group activities and more 1:1 support than they have been able to do in years and this was a bonus to children and parents around Derbyshire.

Domestic support and clubs can also be commissioned externally which may be cheaper. The Outback would be better used to support the social work team specifically children with disabilities in order to undertake parenting assessments, facilitate emergency/crisis work in order to prevent children coming into care or being on the edge of care.

My only experience in using Outback is the personal care service they offer for a child in their own home. The quality and experience of the staff is exceptional. They are experienced Carers, well supervised and professional - I have not seen this in private sector care agencies. They have provided reliable care and prevented the child from going into residential care.

Think the above services are really important but it is so important that we don't dilute services that are so needed in our area.

The care provided to disabled children by Outback Services is vital in ensuring DCC childcare services have skilled staff to support complex needs children, an area of need most staff in mainstream teams would say they don't understand how to meet those needs never mind assess them. The pressure on mainstream services will increase if this service is discontinued.

We currently offer such a wide range of services for so many families, we are extremely flexible with our services we offer and have a wide variety of team skills, we always go above and beyond not just because it's our job role but because we care about the families we work with. What you are proposing is absurd, we wouldn't be able to help families to the extent we do now and we would be restricted by a "model" of work (stronger families model) which through experience of over the past couple of months clearly doesn't work and isn't effective.

i think the service should be looking at both short breaks and the above proactive measures

Would the Outback staff be providing the face-to-face support with the children?  
The level of support appears to be very reduced, is this enough to stop families reaching crisis. Will the services above be at the times when families and children need it most the Outback will lose its flexibility.

First and foremost is the care of the young people. They should be the most important consideration in any changes to The Outback service. Surely it is most cost effective for a young person to stay in their home if possible, rather than under the local authority.  
Why is the Outback Survey different to the Getaways?

Early intervention for families is a vital part of our service. Carrying out these assessments does prevent children coming into fulltime care. This promotes less pressure on the authority in having to place children into care.

I believe flexibility in it's service to allow change within the market if required but the described list is important preventative work.

Again, where do these people who live in Chesterfield go . Cut backs do not improve this situation it just makes their lives harder. Maybe give people at the top of the tree a smaller rise in pay!

The outback service is a lifeline for some families, it shouldn't just be offered to those who are at risk of family breakdown

Respite care outside of the home can be extremely important for families with children with SEND or challenging behaviour. Families and childcare need a break especially when parents are caring for their child 24/7.

The outback should remain open but I believe services on offer needs to change and job roles/pay grades need to be looked at. The building is never available to support family time even if supervising workers are provided. Any type of work requested, referrers are just told they do not have capacity to take on work.

I am not sure what the outback actually provides to the families of Derbyshire.  
More groups for disabled children need to be made available at weekends and in the school holidays. If we are taking away support packages for families and direct payments something else need to be developed to stop families falling apart, the 2-3 hour low level support packages are a real lifeline to some families and prevent breakdown.

This proposal sounds at least like it will be beneficial, however the list of services has shrunk dramatically from what you currently offer.

I think this is a really short-sighted proposal, I work in a special needs school and the Outback is used by many of our pupils. Without this I believe there will be huge impact on the mental health of the children/young people, their parents/carers and any siblings etc and will very likely lead to much higher longer-term costs such as potentially an increase in the need for foster care/NHS support services. This will also mean that already overstretched areas (education and social care etc) will be forced to step in to provide more support (pastoral, early help) without any additional funding. Everyone understands that budgets are tight these days but children/young people with special needs or disabilities and their families need support and it is financially short sighted to remove any sort of early help offer from them or making it harder to access. This also contradicts the UN convention of rights 'Article 23 (children with a disability) A child with a disability has the right to live a full and decent life with dignity and, as far as possible, independence and to play an active part in the community. Governments must do all they can to support disabled. children and their families. ' and also 'Article 3 (best interests of the child) The best interests of the child must be a top priority in all decisions and actions that affect children'

Services and support should not be removed from families who are struggling a short break for some is a life line

Leave things as they are because it is working very well as it is.

Whilst it is positive that there would be more day activities and home support, this does not negate the need for an overnight short break. Parents need time to recuperate, especially if their child has very disturbed sleep. Day activities and support does not help this.

The service which the outback is essential, the savings it makes in already avoiding critical care needs will be huge, although I'm sure you wouldn't want to admit that.

You have to take into consideration the work which is already been undertaken at the Outback. The outback is one of the best teams within Derbyshire. They do targeted pieces of work for children and families with disabilities, off domestic services for families who are struggling, they also undertake parenting assessments and supervised family times. They are a multifaceted team who are making direct and impactful change across Derbyshire. To close this service would be to the detriment of disabled children's social care team! They have supported alongside the social care team, holding low-level cases and managing children and child in need plans. The long and short of it is these people within the team are unqualified social workers who are making lives easier for the social work team across Derbyshire. The team is headed up by one of the most skilled and empathetic managers I have ever met across Derbyshire. To lose this team would be absurd - these are people that I consider to be an integral team within Derbyshire. Why there is not more teams like this team across Derbyshire baffles me as they truly are making a difference to people's lives. They feed into child protection conferences as well as complex cases. They have already worked alongside of variety families to prevent family Breakdown and worked across Derbyshire alongside a pleasure of different teams to ensure they are the most knowledgeable and forward thinking colleagues who genuinely work in a child focused and family centred way.

To reduce the offer of short break care at getaway I feel you need to keep outback services as these will help prevent the need for short breaks and residential care.

Who is going to pick up the parenting assessments and supervised contacts? The social work team who are already under resourced, understaffed and who you are unable to retain?

The expertise and advice that Outback staff have to be able to help families respond to the extremely complex needs of children is crucial and vital. Families need someone in their home modelling how to respond in crisis. A six-week parenting course is not suitable for a child with significant disability and multiple needs. Assessment and intervention is needed to prevent long term care. Again, this is an essential service to support family relationships and care continuing in the home.

It is important that there is that strong service of support for families within the local area.

I think once you start diluting a service such as the outback children on the edge of care will suffer

Just like with Early Years proposal you are looking at decimating a important service provided by the LA to Children and their families (Targeting the most vulnerable residents is a clear breach of the DCC Constitution)

Preventing family breakdown and children coming into care is great but there are so many families that need all of the other support that the Outback currently give that this would have a big impact on the families who currently need then and those who will in the future.

If you cut stb for children with disabilities you will push more families in to crisis more serious case reviews with you failings and more children being taken in to full tome residential services.

Community access and work in the home is crucial for families to prevent breakdown/to help understand challenges that might be behaviour

I don't think the Outback should be closed fully. I agree to the extra services to be provided. But it still needs to remain open for disabled children.

I think the council should look elsewhere to make financial cuts and should prioritise services for disabled children and their carers.

The outback do some amazing early help support that can stop young people actually going into care. The parenting assessment offer positive support for families and enable the families to stay together.

This service needs to continue

The additional services are needed but removal of services from families with disabled children will result in more family breakdown

Families need this service. Closing these services will only create more children in care and breakdowns of families. This will create a bigger financial impact.

The proposal is not fair to everyone there is no one-size-fits-all approach"

These services are vital

This is just ludicrous! How on earth could you even consider making changes!

Providing group care (activity clubs) can reduce the amount of young people/families that need short term breaks. By providing regular group activities supported by experienced and specially trained staff can provide parents with regular respite. This can prevent some families from needing to seek overnight stay as it provides them with regular periods of time to recharge which helps to increase their personal resilience and their ability to care for their complex young people. It would also provide a safeguarding measure as staff would be able to observe and interact with more young people away from family homes in a safe, supportive environment. Activity groups would enhance the lives of young people with disabilities, providing them with new experiences, reducing the risk of social isolation, promoting independence and engagement/interaction with others. Providing multiple regular activity groups would increase the number of young people that could access respite in this manner, reaching more families and reducing the need for overnight, short term breaks. Many current private providers do not have the experienced, specially trained staff that The Outback have and do not accept many young people with complex needs. It is important that care is provided by DCC specialist services who document sessions, complete safeguarding referrals, seek consultation/refer to other specialist services when necessary. Trained staff would be able to support communication, personal care, medication administration and the safe management of physical health needs e.g. tube feeds, epilepsy etc. The Outback have the ability to work closely with other specialist services such as CAMHS, specialist schools, police etc to promote information sharing, joined up working and ultimately to safeguard young people. Emergency & crisis support could be managed by the professional & experienced staff within the team. The Outback building has all the facilities needed to care safely for young people with learning disabilities and complex needs, and the use of this service to provide emergency/crisis care for young people with learning disabilities would reduce the pressures on the specialist disabled children's respite/residential services within the county. Domestic support for families (within family homes) significantly reduces family breakdown and is again a safeguarding measure for young people with very complex needs, some of whom are non-verbal and need consistency from professionals that work with them & their families. Targeted packages of support from The Outback, an experienced & specially trained team are needed to prevent disabled children & young people from being placed in to care & to prevent family break down, and to provide better opportunities and outcomes for disabled young people.

Closing The Outback would put families in our area under even greater pressure, many of whom will then reach crisis point and require further intensive services.

The outback is an outstanding service to the families of Derbyshire who have children with additional needs. By changing it to a service that provides support to those at potential breakdown leaves a large number of families without support and places increased number of families at risk and vulnerability. This is such a vital service to families, without it there will be many more children coming into care and parents at breaking point.

If you shut respite centres then families are more likely to struggle so this would be the opposite of prevention.

Who would complete parenting assessments?

You are making too many cuts to these kind of support services and what you offer in exchange does not help

The Outback service has proven to offer great quality care, necessary help and guidance on the topic of children with additional needs, has staff who are devoted to these children and seeing their needs met, offering much needed support to adult who so desperately need services like this in order to help care for their children with additional needs (and also allow them time with their additional needs if applicable). Closing such a service would be a clear movement in the direction of disregard for a section of our community who need our help so much.

I think you should add these anyway maybe going to more homes and see what the parents and children have to deal with on a daily basis they all need a break I have a send child but we have no help at all so it's already a mess don't make it worse

An early help service is absolutely crucial to supporting disabled children and their families and keeping them at home. Placements for disabled children are extremely expensive. Reducing preventative services for these families is a short-term money saving measure which will inevitably create more issues and cost more money longer term. Treating support effectively is how this service should be delivered, using a tiered approach of intervention.

Ridiculous decision

The outback atill are and have been such a vital support for parents over the years if this is taken away what will the parents do and where will the children go for their short breaks. By closing The Outback this will create a lot of family breakdown and no doubt risk of children being taken into care .

There is a need to add services not remove and replace.

The support in people's homes, emergency/crisis support etc. Should be happening anyway other services shouldn't have to be stooped to allow it to happen!

The outback provides a vital service in the supporting social workers, safeguarding children and working with children.

you are waiting until things get critical before providing support - this is unethical!

Also need to provide short term breaks

Families will struggle to access clubs, Running a club for children with disabilities is not that straight forward for lots of families, It is not as easy as putting your child in a car and dropping them off at an activity to enjoy. For example, simply getting a child with ASD in a car can be challenging never mind the challenges of getting them there, child joining in activities. Activities being ran would have to either be heavily staffed or ran with a limited amount of children which again is not going to have a positive impact. It would be insightful to know what the emergency crisis support and the targeted packages of support for families and children with disabilities are?

The above ideas might be helpful but there is always the fear that those other services wouldn't emerge or be harder to access for families

I urge you to look at the bigger picture- there is so many families in real need of this support- i believe you should be considering opening more services similar- not less.

stopping assessment and early help/intervention doesn't work. Costs more in the future when families hit crisis and require lots more specialist input at a much higher cost.

I have worked with the Outback and service users of the Outback for many years & again we could do with an increase of the invaluable support they provide - not a reduction. The staff have built up skills over many years and provide a lifeline for many families. Please do not target our most vulnerable and their families who did not choose to have a child with complex needs and disability.

Strongly Disagree

I am concerned about who will be picking up the work that Outback would no longer be completing. Outback have supported many of the families I work with, and I am sure that they have prevented many children coming into full time care.

I feel like the outback should be changed to the criteria above for it to be more useful for children with disabilities as currently their services are not available to most families. I feel that they should be given capacity to offer crisis support as this is most needed.

I think it's appalling that you are even considering closing such a worthwhile service. Families rely on the service massively and it's often the only access they have to support.

Once again Derbyshire is proposing breaking up a staff team who have a specialism and diluting that across the service. The end result is that the service users, in this case children, do not get as good a service as they used to.

There would be a gap in the service available to parents who struggle with caring for their children with disabilities should the service be completely changed. I don't see why there couldn't be a preventing family breakdown practitioner as part of the existing team in order to expand the service not reduce it.

You need to stop cutting back on services for disabled people and vulnerable children and instead cut your own managerial workforce and salary bill. There were DCC jobs advertised this week at £75k and cutting services when you offer these kinds of wages is immoral.

I think those this proposed are important but so are the staff that work at the outback, you are reducing staff in every area, it's going to be the children that suffer. Early help going, 0-5 going and now this! I hope management are going too as you'll have nothing left to manage!

If those services mentioned are fully resourced and supported the right families at the right time this would be very beneficial

Most of this is the work the Outback already to do a very high standard.

Really reluctant in these surveys to give definite answers, in case disagreeing with changing what the outback can offer, gives more weight to the idea of closing it instead. The outback provide a brilliant service and many, many families will suffer without it. All of these cuts means families in even greater crisis than they are now, and more children going into expensive residential care over the coming years. Deeply worrying.

The Outback currently provides a high level of care, thought and proactive strategies to help the most vulnerable people and families in society. A lot of the proposals being made are to make these services only available to family's going into crisis. Why not continue to work with families proactively to try and help the need for crisis intervention later down the line. Crisis intervention will only cost more money, which you are fully aware of. These families struggle speak up for the help they need, and the help they eventually get after lots of fighting the social care system, you are now removing. It is shameful.

We should already be doing all of the above

I am concerned that changing the service will put more pressure on families ... the preventive focus will be lost

al disability services working together supporting each other.

There isn't enough support out there for family's don't take it away!

It should be an add on not instead of

This service whilst good in principal will not offer anywhere near the same level of respite and care for both the service users and their families